



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_42634
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of pharmaceutical effluent on the growth of crops in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A conclusion describing the advantages of the work done and the contribution to the literature should be written. The number of digits after the comma should be the same in data and standard deviation in all Tables. For example, there can be 2 digits after the comma. References are poor, inadequate and out of date. The authors should update this reference list to include important related work from the recent literature (2016, 2017 and 2018). Keywords should be added. 	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
Optional/General comments		