## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



Ve

# **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

## PART 1:

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_41304
Title of the Manuscript:	CORROSION INHIBITION AND ADSORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF MYRIANTHUS arboreus LEAVES EXTRACT ON COPPER IN SULPHURIC ACID SOLUTION.
Type of Article:	

#### PART 2:

PART 2:	Ath.and.naanana ta final avaluatania aanumanta
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The phrase in response 2 "because there alwaysinhibitor" does not make sense.	
Please use the section number from 1. I. 16. 1. Introduction between Is. 71 and 72. 2. ******** I. 242. 3.5 Adsorption I. 314. 3.6 Phytochemical I. 335. 4. Conclusions	
Please denote the value of W <sub>i</sub> .	
Please consider the number of significant figures in Tables and text.	
Solid lines in Figure 1 were depicted by the linear approximation of the data points. $\Delta W = W_i - W_f = at + b$ . So, $W_f = -at + W_i - b$ . But it contradicts the discussion of Figure 3. $\log(W_i - \Delta W) = \log(W_f) = \log(-at + W_i - b)$ Not straight line.	
It is difficult to apply linear regression for Figs. 4 and 5.	
The concentration in adsorption isotherm part was not revised.	
Please unify the format of concentration list in Tables 1-4. Table 3 seems to be better.	
I. 176 Figure 5 → Figure 3 I. 192. equation (7) → equation (6) I. 193. equation (7) → equation (6) I. 196. Figure 6 → Figure 4 I. 213. equation (8) → equation (7) I. 214. equation (8) → equation (7) I. 217. Figure 7 → Figure 5 I. 250. 9 → 8, 10 → 9, 11 → 10 I. 252. 9 → 8 I. 261. 10 → 9 I. 263. 11 → 10 I. 265. 8 → 6, 9 → 7	

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international



Ve

www.sciencedomain.org

## **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

```
I. 268. Table 4a \rightarrow Table 5
second Fig. 5 \rightarrow Fig. 6
Fig. 6 \rightarrow \text{Fig. } 7
I2. 291, 295 and 296. K_{ads} \rightarrow K_{ad}
I. 294. 12 \rightarrow 11
I. 295. 12 \rightarrow 11
Is. 294 and 300. Table 5 \rightarrow Table 6
minor
I. 37. [8] reported → Hart et al. [8] reported
Is. 42, 43, 48, 55 and 56. al., \rightarrow al. no comma
I. 103. surface coverage (\theta) and rate constant (k)
\rightarrow and surface coverage (\theta)
I. 103. Equations, 2,3,4 and 5 \rightarrow Equations, 2, 3
I. 105. "100" → "× 100"
I. 106. W_B \rightarrow \Delta W_B, W_{inh} \rightarrow \Delta W_{inh}
I. 136. °K → K
I. 137. M \rightarrow g/I
I. 150. by [24] \rightarrow by Nwangbo and James [24]
I. 166. 3.5 \rightarrow 3.3
In Figures 3, 4, 5 and second 5. Log \rightarrow \log
Is. 173, 193, 199, 214 and 220.Log \rightarrow \log
I. 180. Equations 5 \rightarrow Equation 5
I. 189. 3.6 \rightarrow 3.4
```

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nobuaki Tanaka
Department, University & Country	Department of Materials Chemistry, Faculty of
Department, University & Country	Engineering, Shinshu University, Japan