SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Chemical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CSIJ_40583**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Metal contamination of a fish species (Mugil curema) of the beach of Soumbedioune (Dakar/Senegal)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I. The abstract is inadequate and should be re-written. Abstract should summarize the introduction, materials and methods, results & discussion and conclusion. II. Author should include sample size, how many times samples were collected, use of replicates, time of collection and sampling period in the sampling section. III. Description of the fish samples (weights, lengths or ages) should be included in results and discussion to enable the correlation of such fish descriptors with metal concentration IV. Results & discussion and conclusion have to be re-written after fish descriptors are included	
Minor REVISION comments	I. This work has so many grammatical errors which is probably due to the author's French background. In future, the author should use grammatical tools like Grammarly to correct his manuscript before submission. II. Corrections have been made on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***J. C. Nnaji***
Department, University & Country	***Dept. of Chemistry, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria***