SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Chemical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CSIJ_42967**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Proximate and Chemical Evaluations of Ocimum gratissimum Plant grown with different Manure**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. In the Conclusion part, the MS mentions about the "high content of anti-nutrients" with regard to absorption of essential elements. However, the MS fails to clearly mention this aspect in the above sections.** **2. Mineral and heavy metal presence in the plant depend on the concentration of these chemicals or their level of pollution/contamination. The MS should focus on different concentration level of minerals if the study warrants it instead of analysing in different time frames.**	Correction effected Different concentration level of minerals has been discussed
Minor REVISION comments	1. It is not necessary to repeat the values of Tables in description section. 2. Some of the tables can be graphically represented.	
Optional/General comments		