



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_42128
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of UV - VIS spectrophotometry for phosphorous molybdenum determination in soils of Vietnam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: Correct the word "X-squared" line 18 Correct the word "toal".....line 23 The statement in line 56-60 should be re-written. It need grammatical correction to give some sense to the message. Line 64: Up to now...??? Correct the word "determinstion" line 80 Line 99.....grammar correction... Investigation of roles of color development reagent components. The graphs are not readable; hence, better pictures should be used. Some of the equations looked copied. The Microsoft equation format should be used for the equation descriptions. The equations need through activities for clarity and explanatory. Conclusion: The conclusion section is lengthy. Important matters should be discussed and not repetition of what have been discussed in the Results and Discussion Section. References: Many of the references cited are not in line with the journal reference style and they are not same reference style. For examples...[1], 6, 7-8, and others The biography for reference [20-21] are not explanatory. Check and cite properly.	Typographical errors were corrected (highlighted parts) as required: "X-squared" line 18 → "X-squared". "toal" line 23 → "total". The statement in line 56-60 was re-written. Line 64: was corrected. "determinstion" line 80 → "determination". Line 99: was corrected. Figure 6, 7, 11 were drawn by Microsoft Excel, copied and pasted original version in the paper. Equation tool of Microsoft Word (Insert tab -> Equation) was used for equation descriptions. The equations were explained in paragraph as required. Conclusion was edited as required: Some sentences in the Conclusion were moved to the Results and Discussion Section (highlighted sentences). References: References were corrected in line with the journal reference style as required. The biography for reference [20-21] was noted and checked. [20, 21] are Vietnamese standards, which imposed the criteria to classify soils.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be corrected for grammatical errors. I advise the use of Grammarly Software.	Grammatical errors were checked.