



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

x

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_42051
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Evaluation of Antioxidant and Anti-inflammatory Properties of Methanol and Dichloromethane Extracts of the Leaf of Globimetula oreophila
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Authors used to mention anti-inflammatory activity in IC₅₀ Values. I could not understand the percentage inhibition of the fractions show 70 and above but the same time IC₅₀ also double and triple time increased. This concept is confusing. (See table 8). Better authors should use some graphical figures for the antioxidants results part. Improve the resolution of all the figures. The values not clear in this present form of the figure. Alignment needed for all the tables. Authors mentioned they used t-test analysis for all results but they did not mention the significant values in all the results.	Table 8 showed the extracts and fractions selected for membrane stability assays. The percentage inhibition of these samples at their various concentration are already presented in tables 1-4. Hence, table 8 is no longer necessary and has now been deleted. We feel that the presentation will be better understood with normal figures rather than graphical representation. The resolutions of all the figures have been improved. The tables are not of equal sizes, thus aligning them is difficult. 1. The required information in line 96 of the PDF of the original article is given in lines 97 – 104. 2. We used rutin because we had no problem dissolving it in our previous studies, so we decided to continue to use rutin. 3. The issues on IC₅₀ has been attend to and the new values highlighted in the version 1 of the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	I found this work interesting. It was carried out the plant, which does not report previously in the experimental way.	