



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_41080
Title of the Manuscript:	Background Correction Method for Determination of Ascorbic Acid in Baobab Fruit Pulp Using Direct UV Spectrophotometry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Standardize the references according to the standards of the Chemical Science International Journal 2. Authors should use more current references (1936, 1945, 1964, 1980, 1985...) 3. The manuscript is the application of an existing method (Lau et al., 1986) by spectrophotometry in the UV region for determination of ascorbic acid. It does not bring a new method, although the authors write in the last paragraph of the Introduction that a method was developed. 4. If a method was developed, it must be validated. Where are the method validation data? 5. If a method was developed, where are the conditions tested during the development of the method?	We thank the reviewer very much. -The method is application of previous reported work. -The style of the references is changed to Vancouver style in the revised manuscript. - Some references can't be changed, because the information used from these references very important for the applied method (ref. no. 19, 21). Ref. no. 8, 14, 17 changed in the revised manuscript. -The English of the manuscript is carefully revised. And the required corrections were done in the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-----	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-----	