



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_38363
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF BIO SORBENTS BY BOTTOM UP APPROACH AND THEIR APPLICATIONS FOR THE TREATMENT OF SIMULATED DYES WASTE WATER
New Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF BIO SORBENTS FOR THE TREATMENT OF SIMULATED DYES WASTE WATER
Type of the Article	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Dear authors, the quality of the paper has slightly improved. Authors need to be very careful when preparing an article. A few mistakes can be tolerable but when there are too many, it becomes very difficult to read.</p> <p>For example</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. scientific name of plant need to be italicised, but not normal English word. e.g. in abstract author had typed "<i>tea waste biomass</i> (tea leaves), <i>Zea covering</i> (corn husk) and <i>Prunus persica pits</i> (peach seeds)" where it should be "<i>tea waste</i>, <i>Zea covering</i> waste (corn husk) and <i>Prunus persica pits</i> waste (peach seeds)" 2. The sentence "Waste tea leaves, corn husk, date pits and peach seeds are the most widely consumed products" is wrong. The wastes are not consumed. 3. other errors: PH, nazimabad, 4. "Preparation of natural adsorbents" can be rewritten as a single paragraph cutting all the repetition in description. Basically you can said peach, corn, tea and date wastes were collected from Nazimabad suburb of Karachi, Pakistan, washed with distilled water, ground and sieved into powder of sizes 0.146-0.342 mm, 0.1546-0.4623 mm and 0.0365-0.2876 mm, 0.265-0.435 mm, respectively. <p>This is just a few examples. Please take your time to check and redo the rest.</p> <p>There are way too many unnecessary tables and figures.</p> <p>For example:graphs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Table 1a can be replaced with line graph for ease of comparison. All you need is to just to plot % removal and dosage of all of adsorbent together. 2. Table 1b is not needed. Author can just use the 24hr data to calculate the point of zero charge and just mentioned them in the effect of pH section. Basically, just 4 different values. There is no need for the raw data. <p>Please think carefully and judge what best to use for the figures and tables.</p> <p>Please look at this open access paper from the link below. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1110016815001088</p> <p>Please see carefully how the paper is written. Look at how the data was presented, concisely and ease of comparison.</p>	<p>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</p> <p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>Thank you so much for your corrections.</p> <p>I have corrected all the mentioned mistakes. You can check my research.</p> <p>I have replaced tables with graphs.</p> <p>I have thoroughly read the mentioned paper and corrected all the mistakes.</p> <p>Thank you once again for your help.</p>