Impact of automobile repair activities on physicochemical and microbial properties of soils in selected automobile repair sites in Abuja, Central Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This research is aimed at evaluating the impact of activities carried out in automobile repair sites on quality of soils in the area. To achieve this target, soil samples were collected fromfive samplingsample points were collected fromineach of the three selectedsites(Apo, Kugbo and Zuba) to a depth range of 0 - 15 cm using a stainless hand dug auger. Results ofanalyses ofphysicochemical properties pH, % porosity, electrical conductivity, particle size distribution, sulphate, chloride, nitrate and microbial contents of the sample soilssoil samplesindicate that most of the values exceeded that of control. Levels of heavy metals in soils were determined using Automated Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) machine. The results of the analysis revealed a decreasing trend in heavy metal contents (mg/kg) in soil in the three studied automobile repair sites as follows; Apo site: Cu (7668) > Zn (5360) > Cr (1174) > Fe (467) >Pb (333) > Ni > (196) > Cd (10.6); Kugbo site: Zn (1587) > Cu (1043) > Cr (783) > Ni (234) > Fe (217) >Pb (170) > Cd (9.47); Zuba site: Zn (1190) > Cr (767) > Cu (512) > Fe (279) >Pb (250) > Ni (127) > Cd (10.4). Comparative analysis reveals that values of the studied heavy metals have exceeded those of control values and background values of some international regulatory bodies. Pearson's correlation analysis reveals that some of the heavy metals had very strong correlations with one another and with some of the physicochemical properties of the soil. This indicates that the studied heavy metals have the same origin, mutual dependence and identical behaviors.

Keywords: Heavy metals; soil; automobile repair sites; atomic adsorption spectrophotometer; AASphysicochemical properties; statistical analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heavy metal contamination refers to the excessive deposition or discharge of toxic metal(s) in soil, sludge's, sediments or water as a result of geogenic or anthropogenic activities[1]. Soil contamination associated with heavy metal has become a major environmental problem in most developing and developed countries in the world especially the potential health and ecological risk associated with such contamination [2-4]. Heavy metals are one of the most serious pollutants in natural environment because of their toxicity, persistence, wide spread sources, non-biodegradable, bioaccumulation properties and other negative effects they have on soil quality, biota and ecosystem at large [5-7]. Heavy metals are natural components of the earth crust which cannot be degraded nor destroyed completely [8-9]. Examples of heavy metals include: Zinc, Manganese, Cadmium, Lead, Copper, Nickel, Antimony, Arsenic, Cobalt, Tin, Vanadium, Platinum etc. Due to rapid industrialization and economic development, heavy metals have been increasingly introduced in the environment through various pathways which include application of pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers, untreated sludge's and sewages on farm lands. Also, irrigation, river run off, atmospheric deposition and industrial activities like: metal mining, smelting of metals, combustion of coal, leaded gasoline, spillage of petroleum products, paints, electroplating, refining refinishing of by-products and automobile repairs.[4, 10-13].

In Nigeria, "automobile repair sites" are places where various automobile repairs are carried out such as; welding and fabrication, soldering, car battery recharging, scrapping, spraying and painting of vehicle parts, gear box recycling, panel beating of scratched vehicles, discharge of condemned petroleum products (oils, greases, hydraulics fluids) etc[14-15].These activities tend to release various heavy metal containing wastes into the environment vis-a-vizwhen discharged indiscriminately in soil. Heavymetal contamination in soil does not only persist in soil but also have wide range of distribution and strong latency [16-17].It has been reported that absorption and bioaccumulation of heavy metals in humans can

Comment [DEU1]: Add to line 10
Comment [DEU2]: Delete from line 10
Comment [DEU3]: Delete from line 11
Comment [DEU4]: Add to line 11
Comment [DEU5]: Add to line 11
Comment [DEU6]: Add to line 11
Comment [DEU7]: Add to line 12
Comment [DEU8]: Delete from line 14
Comment [DEU9]: Add to line 14
Comment [DEU10]: Delete from line 15
Comment [DEU11]: Add to value in line 21
Comment [DEU12]: Delete from line 26
Comment [DEU13]: Add to line 26
Comment [DEU14]: Delete from sludges in line 32
Comment [DEU15]: Delete from line 32
Comment [DEU16]: Delete from sludges in line 42
Comment [DEU17]: Delete
Comment [DEU18]: Add

lead to the following health issues; liver and kidney damage, neurotoxic effects in children, bone and effects and fractures, damages of circulatory and nerve tissues, etc[18-24].Heavy metal contamination in and around automobile repair sites have been extensively studied[25-32].

In this study the impact of automobile repair activities on the quality of soils in and around some selected automobile repair sites in Abuja were assessed.Physicochemical properties like pH, electrical conductivity, organic matter, sulphate, chloride, nitrates and microbial properties of soil samples from these sites as well as levels of heavy metal contents were all evaluated. Pearson's correlation coefficient matrix was also conducted to determine the origin of the various heavy metals in soil. The study was conducted in November, 2015.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

2.1DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

The study area Abuja is situated in the North Central part of Nigeria. The City was made the federal capital territory in 1991. Geographically, Abuja lies on the coordinates of latitude 9∘40'N and 9∘29'E and falls within the Guinea forest – Savannah mosaic zone in the West Africa sub-region. The automobile repair sites chosen for were each drawn from three major districts in Abuja Municipal Area Council namely: Apo in Gudu district, Kugbo in Kugo district and Zuba in Madalla district.The geological map of the study area is presented in Figure 1.



Figure1: Geological map of study site

2.1 Soil Sampling

Soil samples were randomly collected with a stainless hand dug auger up to a debt range of 0 -15 cm withfromfive samplessampling points ineach from of the three automobile repair sites investigated. A controlled sampled was also collected from a distance approximately 100 km where neither industrial nor commercial activities takes place. The sampled soils were enclosed in separate dry new polyethylene nylon bags and taken to the laboratory for analysis.

2.2 Quality Control

Comment [DEU19]: Add

Comment [DEU20]: Add

Comment [DEU21]: Add

Comment [DEU22]: Cpitalize the first letters of the major words

Comment [DEU23]: Delete from line 79

Comment [DEU24]: Add to line 79

Comment [DEU25]: Delete from line 79

Comment [DEU26]: Add to line 79

Comment [DEU27]: Add to line 79

Comment [DEU28]: Deleted

All laboratory glass wares used during the analysis were of high quality and Pyrex. Also, they were thoroughly washed and air dried prior to their various uses. The reagents used were all of analytical grade. Working standard solutions for the heavy metals were prepared from their stock solutions of 100 ppm. The respective absorbencies of all the standard solutions of each investigated heavy metal were determined using automated Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) with model Unicam 969 Solar according to the method described by (AOAC 1990). The standard calibration curves were obtained for concentration against absorbance for each sample. Triplicate samples were also run to ensure high precision of results.

2.3 Sample Preparation and Digestion

Soil samples were first dried in an open air after which stones and debris present were removed through handpicking. The respective samples were further crushed in an acid pre washed mortar and pestle, sieved to an aperture size of 338 μm with a stainless laboratory sieve with make Endecott's Limited London England serial number 489494. Soil digestions were done in accordance with the methods by[25, 33].

Table 1: Physicochemical parameters and methods of analyses

Parameter	Method	Reference
Heavy metal	Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS)	[34]
pH		[35]
% Porosity		[36]
Particle size distribution	Hydrometer Method	[37]
Total coliform count		[38]
Electrical conductivity		[35]
Chloride		[39]
Sulphate	Precipitation Method	[39]
Nitrate		[39]

Comment [DEU29]: Add

Comment [DEU30]: Cpitalize the first letters of the major words

2.4 Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS statistics 16.0software. Descriptive statistics was carried to determine the mean, range and standard deviation while Karl Person correlation coefficient was used in determination of correlation between metals and with the physicochemical properties of the soil.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physicochemical Contents of Studied Soil

The accumulation of certain heavy metals in sediments had been reported to be directly or indirectly controlled by redox conditions either through a change in the redox state and/or speciation[40].The result of the study revealed that pH recorded highest value of 7.88 in Kugbo automobile repair site while the least value of 7.10 was seen in Zubaautomobile repair site. A decreasing trend in the mean values of pH in the investigated automobile repair site were observed to follow the sequence of Kugbo site (7.548) > Apo site (7.26) >Zuba site (7.20).This depicts that soil samples from all the sites are slightly basic which could also be attributed to anthropogenic activities like indiscriminate discharge of used electrolytes on the soil. The results of the pH are also found to be higher than those reported by [10, 41].Importantly, pH plays significant role in solute concentration and in sorption and desorption of contaminants in soil [42].

Comment [DEU31]: Change to upper case

Results of percentage porosity of soil as shown in table 2 reveal that all the values in the investigated soil were above average with least and highest values of % porosity of 59.8% and 66.4% recorded in Zubaand Kugbo automobile repair sites respectively. A decreasing order of mean values of % porosity in all the sites can be written as Zuba site (61.9%) > Apo site (60.5%) >Kugbosite 59.4%). High % porosity in soil could be traceable to some automobile repair activities like welding and fabrication, panel beating of automobile parts, indiscriminate discharge of metal scraps, lubricants, hydraulics, battery electrolytes and petroleum products.Electrical conductivity recorded mean values of 281 µs/cm, 383 µs/cm and 384 µs/cm in Kugbo, Zuba and Apo automobile repair sites respectively. These mean values also exceeded that of control site (206 µs/cm) which possibly indicates anthropogenic influence on the quality of the soil. High values of electrical conductivity could be traced to deposit of heavy metals which are also good electrical conductors. In addition, results of the study showed that values of particle size distribution in all the sites ranges from (349 - 596) µm as shown in table 2. Mean values of particle size distribution in the investigated sites were observed to follow a decreasing order of Zuba site (576 µm) > Apo site (563 µm) >Kugbo site (428 µm) respectively which also exceeded that of control value and thus depicts anthropogenic influence. High particle size distribution could be linked to some automobile repair activities like scrapping and refurbishment of vehicles, spraying and painting etc.

3.2Results of Anionic Contents of Studied Soil

Results of anionic contents in investigated soil as shown in table 2 reveals that values of sulphate fluctuated between 0.51 – 0.68 mg/g, 0.18 – 0.57 mg/g and 0.45 – 0.65 mg/g in Apo, Kugbo and Zuba automobile repair sites respectively. High sulphate content is soil could be attributed to automobile repair sites activities like indiscriminate discharge of lubricants, electrolytes, oil sludge and used petroleum products. Chloride contents in investigated soils recorded a decreasing mean values in the order of Apo site (0.11 mg/g) >Zuba site (0.097 mg/g) >Kugbo site (0.033 mg/g). Some automobile repair activities that could have added to chloride content in soil include: Changing and repair of automobile air condition gases, radiator coolants etc. Nitrates contents in investigated soil fluctuated between 0.09 – 0.25 mg/g in Apo site, 0.09 – 0.35 mg/g in Kugbo site and 0.02 – 0.11 mg/g in Zuba site. Total coliform count unit (cfu/g) as shown in table 2 recorded some values that exceeded those of control 0.016cfu/g and standard acceptable count of 0.01cfu/g. comparatively the values of total coliform count unit in all the sites fluctuate between 0.011 – 0.023 cfu/g in Apo site, 0.009 – 0.059 cfu/g in Kugbo site and 0.09 – 0.025 cfu/g in Zuba site respectively.These values also indicatevarious levels microbial contamination in the investigated automobile repair sites.

Table 2:Physiochemical properties of soil samples from investigated automobile repair sites

Sample points	pH	Percentage Porosity (%)	Electrical Conductivity (µs/cm)	Particle Size Distribution (µm)	Sulphate (mg/g)	Chloride (mg/g)	Nitrate (mg/g)	Total Coliform count (cfu/g)
A_1	7.20	59.6	388	511	0.60	0.05	0.15	0.023
A_2	7.19	62.1	391	568	0.51	0.11	0.25	0.021
A_3	7.22	60.1	386	561	0.63	0.13	0.15	0.014
A_4	7.39	60.9	369	576	0.68	0.12	0.09	0.011
A_5	7.31	59.7	388	596	0.68	0.08	0.12	0.012
$\bar{X}\pm SD$	7.26±0.09	60.5±1.04	384±8.79	562±31.7	0.51±0.26	0.10 ±0.34	0.15±0.58	0.016±0.006
Range	7.19-7.39	59.6-60.9	369-391	511-596	0.51-0.68	0.05-0.11	0.09-0.25	0.011-0.023
K_1	7.49	59.8	219	459	0.57	0.06	n.d	0.03
K_2	7.88	63.4	230	349	0.29	n.d	n.d	0.059
K_3	7.77	66.4	310	458	0.40	0.11	0.07	0.045
K_4	7.41	59.8	289	474	0.18	0.09	0.11	0.018
K_5	7.19	60.2	355	400	0.34	n.d	n.d	0.009
$\bar{X}\pm SD$	7.55±0.28	61.9±2.92	281±56.6	428±525	0.35±0.14	0.87±0.25	0.09±0.35	0.033±0.201
Range	7.19 -7.88	59.8-66.4	219-355	349-474	0.18-0.57	0.06-0.11	0.07-0.11	0.009-0.059
Z_1	7.10	59.3	389	527	0.45	0.11	0.02	0.014
Z_2	7.19	56.8	388	556	0.58	0.15	0.09	0.025
Z_3	7.19	60.8	367	587	0.65	0.13	n.d	0.008
Z_4	7.24	59.7	391	600	0.62	0.09	n.d	0.008
Z_5	7.33	60.4	380	610	0.59	0.11	0.11	0.009
$\bar{X}\pm SD$	7.20±0.09	159±1.57	383±9.87	576±34.2	0.58±0.77	0.12 ±0.23	0.07 ±0.49	0.013±0.007
Range	7.19-7.33	56.8-60.8	367-291	527-610	0.45-0.65	0.09-0.15	0.02-0.11	0.009-0.025

Control	7.29	56.6	206	366	0.16	n.d	n.d	0.016

A: Apo automobile repair sites; K: Kugbo automobile repair sites; Z: Zuba automobile repair sites; n.d: not determined

3.3Heavy Metal Contents of Studied Soil

Results of heavy metal distribution in soil in the investigated automobile repair sites are shown in table 3. From the results, copper is the most abundant heavy metal with its values ranging from (217-22000) mg/kg. Copper recorded mean values of 7668 mg/kg, 1043 mg/kg and 512 mg/kg in Apo, Kugbo and Zuba automobile repair sites respectively. These values were found to be higher than those reported by [43-46]. These values also exceeded that of control 37.3 mg/kg, background value of 36 mg/kg by (DPR, 2002) and background values of some international regulatory bodies listed in table 3. Although copper is an essential mineral, high content of it could lead to serious health problem. Values of zinc were in the range of (410-8421)mg/kg in all the sites. Mean values of zinc in the investigated automobile repair sites decreases in the order of Apo site (5360 mg/kg) >Kugbo site (1587 mg/kg) >Zuba site (1190 mg/kg). These values were observed to be very high especially when compared with those from control, DPR background value and some international regulatory bodies (table 3). Also they exceeded those reported by [15, 47-48].This possibly suggest anthropogenic influence which could be from activities of auto mechanics like scrapping and painting of vehicles, attrition of vehicle tires, indiscriminate discharge of lubricating oil containing zinc additives like zinc dithiophosphates etc.

More so, nickel recorded a decreasing mean values in the order of Kugbo site (234 mg/kg) > Apo site (196 mg/kg) >Zuba site (127 mg/kg). These values are higher than those from control (108 mg/kg), background values of DPR (35 mg/kg), South Africa (91 mg/kg), France (50 mg/kg), China (50 mg/kg), EU guidelines (75 mg/kg) and FAO/WHO guidelines (50 mg/kg). They are also higher than those reported by some researchers [15, 49-52]. Nickels entering the natural environment are mainly through human activities like discharge of used batteries, diesel, grease, lubricating oils, tanks storing petroleum products etc. High concentration of nickel in the body can displace vital elements from the enzymes in humans system which could result in the breakage of metabolism route and subsequently result to heart and liver disease [53] Cadmium contents in all the investigated sites were also observed to be in the range of (1.23-19.2) mg/kg. Cadmium also recorded mean values of 10.5 mg/kg, 10.4 mg/kg and 9.47 mg/kg in Apo, Zuba and Kugboautomobile repair sites respectively. Comparatively, these values were higher than DPR background values of 0.80 mg/kg, international regulatory bodies (table 3) and those reported by [54-56]. Cadmium in soil could be from condemned batteries, pigments, paints, etc. Some health problems associated with cadmium poising include: chronic renal, anemia, cancer, lung infection, cardiovascular diseases, respiratory system disorders, skin and tooth decay among others [22].

Comment [DEU36]: Change to upper case

Table 3: Trace metal contents (mg/kg) of sampled soils from the three automobile repair sites.

Sample points	Fe	Zn	Cu	Ni	Pb	Cr	Cd
A_1	561	8200	1677	238	96.4	1117	12.5
A_2	426	5288	22000	212	357	1173	11.5
A_3	423	8421	12830	402	967	1916	10.6
A_4	411	847	219	48.6	194	814	8.90
A_5	512	4045	1616	80.5	51.7	848	8.90
$\bar{X}\pm SD$	467±66.4	5360±3144	7668±9488	196±141	333±373	1174±444	10.5±1.59
Range	411-561	847-8421	219-22000	48.6±402	51.7-967	813- 915	8.94±12.5
K_1	203	2869	3144	195	89.6	911	1.20
K_2	320	719	407	370	201	288	10.2
K_3	259	2016	340	110	316	726	15.2
K_4	145	1441	1017	178	15.7	915	1.50
K_5	157	890	306	318	225	1074	19.2
$\bar{X}\pm SD$	217±73.3	1587±879	1043±1210	234±107	170±118	783±303	9.47±8.07
Range	145-320	719-2869	340-3144	110-370	15.7-316	288-1074	1.23-19.2
Z_1	302	410	686	187	199	830	10.2
Z_2	331	976	351	148	58.3	764	12.5
Z_3	195	1010	956	127	249	1120	9.50
Z_4	306	1710	352	126	443	630	8.80
Z_5	260	1845	217	48.0	298	491	11.1
$\bar{X}\pm SD$	279±53.4	1190±589	512±303	127±50.7	250±140	767±236	10.4±1.41
Range	195-331	410-1845	217-956	48.0-187	58.3-443	491-1120	8.84-12.5
C_T	2.45	73.4	37.3	108	102	1108	n.d
B_T	5000	140	36.0	35.0	85.0	100	0.800
I_V	n.l	720	190	210	530	380	17.0

A: Apo automobile repair sites; K: Kugbo automobile repair sites; Z: Zuba automobile repair sites; C_T: control sample; n.d: not determined; n.l: no limit; B_T: background values of DPR (2002); I_V: Intervention value of DPR (2002)

Furthermore, lead was observed to have mean concentration of 333 mg/kg, 250mg/kg and 170mg/kg in Apo,Zuba and Kugboautomobile repair sites. When compared with values established by some regulatory bodies (table 3) the values were observed to very high having exceededthose reported by [57- 60]. Lead enters the soil through some processes like; welding and soldering, gases from vehicle exhaust, car paints, dry cell batteries, leaded gasoline etc. Lead in human blood can replace calcium in the bones and is capable to create blood, bone, enzyme and nerve disorders. It can lead to general weakness, muscle relaxation, neurotic disorders, anemia, insomnia and skin discoloration [22]. Chromium fluctuated between (288 – 1174) mg/kg in all the sites. A decreasing trend in mean concentration of chromium in the three automobile repair sites is seen to follow the order of Apo site (1174 mg/kg) >Kugbo site (788.6 mg/kg) >Zuba site (766.8 mg/kg). These values were also higher than the acceptable values of some regulatory bodies as shown in table 3 and those reported by [52, 59, 61]. Chromium can enter the soil through any of the following processes: discharge of oils and greases, scrapping of vehicle parts, spraying of paints, pigments containing chromium, air conditioning coolants, brake emission, petroleum products, etc. Although chromium is essential to the body, high content of it especially in form of chromium (VI) is toxic to human system. Mean values of iron were seen to follow a decreasing order of Apo site (467 mg/kg) >Zuba site (279 mg/kg) >Kugbo site (217 mg/kg)with a general value range of (145 – 561) mg/kg. These values were observed to be lower than those reported by [33, 62-63].

Table 4: Background values of heavy metals of some international regulatory bodies

Countries	Zn	Cu	Ni	Pb	Cr	Cd	References
Tanzania	150	200	100	200	100	1	[64]
South Africa	240	16	91	20	6.5	7.5	[65]
France	n.a	100	50	100	n.a	2	[66]
China	250	100	50	80	200	0.5	[67]
Sweden	n.a	40	30	40	60	0.4	[15]
EU Guidelines	300	140	75	300	150	3	[68]
FAO/WHO Guidelines	300	100	50	100	100	3	[69]

Comment [DEU37]: Add

Comment [DEU38]: Capitalize the first letters of each major word

Comment [DEU39]: Do not italics

Comment [DEU40]: Change to upper case

Comment [DEU41]: Add

Comment [DEU42]: Capitalize the first letters of each major word *n.a: not available*

3.4 Karl Pearson's Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis which is statistical tool that help to measure and analyze the degree of relationship between two of more variables. This enables us to have an idea about the degree and direction of the relationship between the variables. Correlation coefficient data is also a vital which can be used to deduce the possible source(s) of heavy metals in soil.Mathematically, Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient can be stated as:

$$r = \frac{N\sum XY - \sum X \sum Y}{\sqrt{N\sum X^2 - \left(\sum X\right)^2}\sqrt{N\sum Y^2 - \left(\sum Y\right)^2}} \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad - \qquad (1)$$

where N = number of samples; X,Y are the single samples indexed;

The correlation coefficient matrix for heavy metals present in soil samples from the various automobile repair sites investigated are shown in tables5, 6 and 7 below. Pearson correlation coefficients were implored for all the sites. The results shown in table 5 indicate that strong positive correlation exist between the following metals like Pb/Cr (r = 0.94) evidencing that in 94% of cases, the correlation of both heavy metals increases simultaneously. Other strong positive correlation were seen among Zn/Ni (r = 0.88), Cr/Ni (r = 0.96), Cr/Zn (r = 0.75), Cd/Zn (r = 0.76), Ni/Cd (r =0.60) and Cu/Pb (r =0.55) respectively.This indicates that the studied heavy metals have identical behavior, are mutually dependence and are also from the same source(s). Strong negative correlations also exist between some physicochemical properties of the soil samples and some heavy metals as follows: Cd/pH (r = -0.88), SO_4^{2-}/NO_3^- (r = -0.96), Zn/pH (r = -0.87), Cd/PSD (r = -0.86), Cu/SO_4^{2-} (r = -0.82), Cd/SO_4^{2-} (r = -0.77), Ni/pH (r = -0.76), Fe/%P (r = -0.69), Cu/pH (r = -0.65) and Cr/pH (r = -0.59). This strong negative correlation indicates that the sources of the metal are from different origin.

Table 5: Pearson's correlationcoefficient matrix of heavy metals in Apoautomobile repair sites (n = 5).

	Fe	Zn	Cu	Ni	Pb	Cr	Cd	pH	EC	%P	PSD	SO_4^{2-}	Cl^-	NO
Fe	1.00													
Zn	0.40	1.00												
Cu	-0.49	0.33	1.00											
Ni	-0.07	0.88*	0.53	1.00										
Pb	-0.57	0.48	0.55	0.83	1.00									
Cr	-0.28	0.75	0.52	0.96**	0.94*	1.00								
Cd	0.38	0.76	0.41	0.60	0.12	0.37	1.00							
pH	-0.27	-0.87	-0.65	-0.76	-0.35	-0.59	-0.88*	1.00						
EC	0.44	0.72	0.52	0.49	0.11	0.34	0.59	-0.85	1.00					
%P	-0.69	-0.36	0.71	-0.10	0.11	-0.07	0.06	-0.08	-0.07	1.00				
PSD	-0.51	-0.64	0.07	-0.46	0.01	-0.24	-0.86	0.56	-0.21	0.26	1.00			
SO_4^{2-}	0.08	-0.41	-0.82	-0.41	-0.16	-0.26	-0.77	0.80	-0.59	-0.64	0.38	1.00		
Cl^-	-0.61	0.30	0.69	0.62	0.68	0.63	0.48	-0.44	-0.03	0.59	-0.33	-0.62	1.00	
NO_3^-	-0.14	0.41	0.91*	0.43	0.24	0.31	0.64	-0.80	0.70	0.64	-0.16	-0.96**	0.53	1.00

EC: Electrical Conductivity; %P: Percentage Porosity; PSD: Particle Size Distribution; Significant /r/*(p < 0.05); ** (p < 0.01)

Results of correlation coefficient matrix of Kugbo site shown in table 6 reveals that strong positive correlations exist between heavy metals in the sampled soils asPb/Cd (r = 0.87), Zn/Cu (r = 0.81) and Pb/Zn (r = 0.53). Also among metals and physiochemical properties like Fe/pH (r = 0.93), Pb/%P (r = 0.80), Fe/%P (r = 0.73), Zn/ SO_4^{2-} (r = 0.79), PSD/ Zn (r = 0.74), Cu/ SO_4^{2-} (r = 0.70) and Zn/Cl^- (r = 0.61).

Comment [DEU43]: Change to upper case

Comment [DEU44]: Add

Comment [DEU45]: Add

Comment [DEU46]: Capitalize the first letters of each major word

Comment [DEU47]: Change to upper case

Strong negative correlation also occurred between heavy metals like Fe/Cr (r = -0.93), Ni/Zn (r = -0.72), Cd/Cu (r = -0.74) and Pb/Cu (r = -0.58) and with physiochemical properties of soil like Cr/pH (r = -0.91), Ni/PSD (r = -0.87), PSD/Cd (r = -0.69), Ni/NO_3^- (r = -0.65) and Cu/EC (r = -0.63). Strong positive correlations were also seen among some heavy metals in Zuba site as follows: Cu/Cr (r = 0.95), Pb/Zn (r = 0.65), Cr/Ni (r = 0.53) and with some physiochemical properties like Fe/EC (r = 0.96), Zn/pH (r = 0.94), Zn/PSD (r = 0.94), Pb/%P (r = 0.69), Pb/PSD (0.65), Zn/ SO_4^{2-} (r = 0.66). Major strong negative correlation among heavy metals like Ni/Zn (r = -0.87), Cd/Pb (r = -0.84), Cr/Zn (r = -0.65), Cu/Zn (r = -0.64) and Cu/Fe (r = -0.63). Also between heavy metals and some physiochemical properties like Ni/pH (r = -0.94), Pb/Cl^- (r = -0.89), Ni/PSD (r = -0.88), Fe/%P (r = -0.80), Cr/pH (r = -0.75), Cu/pH (r = -0.75), Cd/%P (r = -0.74), Cu/NO_3^- (r = -0.65) and Cu/EC (r = -0.61) respectively.The correlation coefficients between concentrations of various heavy metals and those of physiochemical properties of the soil samples shows strong linear relationship between the variables, which probably indicate their common origin or their common sink in the soils. Presence of heavy metals in these soils could also be attributed to indiscriminate discharge of heavy metal containing wastes generated from various automobile activities in soils in and around the investigated automobile repair sites.

Table 6: Pearson's correlationcoefficient matrix of heavy metals in Kugboautomobile repair sites(n = 5).

	Fe	Zn	Cu	Ni	Pb	Cr	Cd	pH	EC	%P	PSD	SO_4^{2-}	Cl^-	NO_3^-
Fe	1.00													
Zn	-0.14	1.00												
Cu	-0.22	0.81	1.00											
Ni	-0.27	-0.72	-0.28	1.00										
Pb	-0.53	-0.20	-0.58	0.05	1.00									
Cr	-0.93*	0.33	0.28	-0.37	-0.27	1.00								
Cd	0.18	-0.51	-0.74	0.28	0.87	0.00	1.00							
pH	0.93	0.00	-0.17	-0.02	-0.38	-0.91*	-0.04	1.00						
EC	-0.50	-0.38	-0.63	-0.10	0.39	0.56	0.71	-0.55	1.00					
%P	0.73	-0.06	-0.51	-0.24	0.80	-0.58	0.47	0.77	0.06	1.00				
PSD	-0.13	0.74	0.49	-0.87	-0.40	-0.11	-0.69	0.21	-0.35	0.08	1.00			
SO_4^{2-}	0.08	0.79	0.70	-0.27	0.17	0.23	-0.09	-0.02	-0.31	-0.01	0.18	1.00		
Cl^-	-0.20	0.61	0.19	-0.97**	-0.14	0.23	-0.38	0.13	0.03	0.27	0.92*	0.06	1.00	
NO_3^-	-0.36	0.06	-0.19*	-0.65	-0.33	0.18	-0.32	-0.02	0.24	0.08	0.66	-0.54**	0.79	1.00

EC: Electrical Conductivity; %P: Percentage Porosity; PSD: Particle Size Distribution; Significant /r/(p < 0.05);** (p < 0.01)*

Comment [DEU48]: Add

Comment [DEU49]: Capitalize the first letters of each major word

Comment [DEU50]: Do not italics

Table 7: Pearson's correlation coefficient matrix of heavy metals in Zubaautomobile repair sites (n = 5).

	Fe	Zn	Cu	Ni	Pb	Cr	Cd	pH	EC	%P	PSD	SO_4^{2-}	Cl^-	NO_3^-
Fe	1.00													
Zn	-010	1.00												
Cu	-0.63	-0.64	1.00											
Ni	0.37	-0.87	0.59	1.00										
Pb	-0.22	0.65	-0.14	-0.39	1.00									
Cr	-0.53	-0.65	0.95*	0.53	-0.32	1.00								
Cd	0.41	-0.18	-0.40	-0.05	-0.84	-0.21	1.00							
pH	-0.04	0.94*	-0.75	-0.94*	0.43	-0.75	0.10	1.00						
EC	0.96*	-0.03	-0.61	0.35	0.03	-0.60	0.16	-0.02	1.00					
%P	-0.80	0.34	0.36	-0.44	0.69	0.12	-0.73	0.22	-0.59	1.00				
PSD	-0.42	0.94*	-0.35	-0.88*	0.65	-0.37	-0.30	0.85	-0.36	0.53	1.00			
SO_4^{2-}	-0.48	0.66	-0.03	-0.58	0.34	0.09	-0.20	0.52	-0.53	0.66	0.82	1.00		
Cl^-	-0.02	-0.45	0.24	0.24	-0.89*	0.49	0.72	-0.32	-0.29	-0.59	-0.36	0.11	1.00	
NO_3^-	0.26	0.32	-0.69	-0.55	-0.43	-0.59	0.83	0.60	0.10	-0.38	0.17	0.00	0.33	1.00

EC: Electrical Conductivity; %P: Percentage Porosity; PSD: Particle Size Distribution; Significant /r/(p < 0.05);** (p < 0.01)*

3.5 Variation in Level of Heavy Metal in the Study Area

Inorder to have a comparative knowledge about the level of heavy metal contamination in soil in and around the studied mechanic villages, data obtained from these sites were compared with background values established by DPR 2002 and other standard regulatory bodies as shown in table 4 above. The background value of an element is the maximum level of the element in an environment beyond which the environment is said to be polluted by the element [70]. All the investigated heavy metals but iron had values greater than the maximum acceptable limit of these bodies. This implies that the auto mechanic sites had various degrees of contamination which could be traceable to anthropogenic activities. A trend of variation of heavy metal contents in soils in three automobile repair sites can be summarized as: Apo site: Cu > Zn > Cr > Fe >Pb> Ni > Cd; Kugbo site: Zn > Cu >Cr >Ni >Fe>Pb> Cd; Zuba: Zn > Cr > Cu > Fe >Pb> Ni > Cd.The result of the study also reveals that Cu, Zn and Cr had very high variation and standard deviation. Pb, Ni and Fe showed moderate variation while Cd showed the least variation. Large variations imply great heterogeneity of metals in soil while low variations show more or less homogeneous distribution of heavy metals in soil. This could be traced to different levels of contamination caused by varying degrees of automobile wastesdischarge in soils [71].

4. CONCLUSION

The results obtained from the study suppliessupplyvaluable information on various levels of heavy metal contents in soils in and around the three major automobile repair sites in Abuja, Nigeria. The results also showed the distribution pattern of the studied heavy metals whose values in all the sites with the exception of iron were found to have exceeded the background or pre-industrial reference value(s) provided by some world regulatory bodies. The high values recorded could be attributed to anthropogenic activities like indiscriminate discharge of heavy metal containing wastes generated from various auto-mechanic practices. A trend of variation of heavy metal contents in soils in three automobile repair sites can be summarized as: Apo site: Cu > Zn > Cr > Fe >Pb> Ni > Cd; Kugbo site: Zn > Cu >Cr > Ni > Fe>Pb> Cd; Zuba: Zn > Cr > Cu > Fe >Pb> Ni > Cd.Statistical analysis conducted using Pearson's correlation coefficient on the variables revealed that these heavy metals had strong correlation with each other and with some of the physicochemical properties of the soil. They also showed a high

Comment [DEU51]: Add

Comment [DEU52]: Capitalize the first letters of each major word

Comment [DEU53]: Do not italics

Comment [DEU54]: Change to upper case

Comment [DEU55]: Delete

Comment [DEU56]: Add

approximation to perfect correlation indicating a strong linear relationship between the measured variables.

Based on the results of the research work, it is therefore suggested that systematic investigation should be conducted to access seasonal variation and rate of heavy metal loading in and around these automobile repair sites together with the ecological risk indices associated with such increase with a view to determining the effects on the soil in particular and environment at large. Thus, there is an urgent need for continuous monitoring of rate of heavy metal increase in soils in the affected automobile repair sites. Also adequate sensitization of dangers associated heavy metals contamination should be made and more environmental waste management practice encouraged.

Comment [DEU57]: This paragraph is not necessary here. Delete

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings of this research work, it is therefore suggested that systematic investigation should be conducted in order to check the rate of heavy metal loading and change in the quality of soil and air in and around these automobile repair site. Indiscriminate discharge of waste on the soil by auto-mechanics should be totally stopped and the better still the waste collected, recycled and properly disposed in order to save our environment from harmful pollutants. Adequate sensitization on the damages of indiscriminate discharge of waste in the soil should be made by relevant authorities and a more environment friendly automobile mechanic village concept and proper waste management encouraged.

COMPETING INTEREST

Authors have strongly declared that no competing interest exists.

REFERENCES

1. Su C, Jiang L, Zang W. A review of heavy metal contamination in the soil worldwide: Situation, impact and remediation techniques. J. Environ. Skep.Critics.2014; 3(2): 24-38.
2. Sun, YB, Zhou QX, Xie XK, Liu R. Spatial sources and Risk Assessment of Heavy metal contamination of urban soils in typical regions of Shenyang, China. J. Haz. Mat. 2010; 174: 455-462
3. Zhang LP, Ye X, Feng H, Jing YH, Ouyan, T., Yu, XT, Liang RR, Gao CT, Chen, WQ. Heavy metal contamination in Western Xiang Bay sediments and its vicinity, China. J. Haz. Mat. 2007; 54(7): 974-982
4. Ekeocha CI, Anunuso CI. Comparative analysis of Index of Geoaccumulation of heavy metals in some selected auto mechanic soils in Abuja, Nigeria. J. Chem. Soc. of Nigeria. 2016; 41(2), 96-102
5. Ihejirika CE, Njoku RF, Ede TE, Enwereuzoh UO, Izunobi LC, Asiegbu D, Verla N. Anthropogenic Impact and Geoaccumulation of Heavy metal levels of soils in Owerri, Nigeria. British J. Appl. Sci. Tech. 2016; 12(1): 1-9Doi: 9734/BJAST/2016/19357
6. Pekey H. Heavy metal pollution assessment in sediments of Izmit Bay, Turkey. Environ. Monit. Assess. 2006; 123 :(1-3), 219-231.
7. NouriJ, Mahvi AH, Babaei A, Ahmadpour E. Regional pattern distribution of groundwater in Fluoride. 2006; 39(4): 321-325
8. Al-TrabulsyHAM, KhaterAEM, Habam FI.Heavy elements concentrations, physicochemical characteristics and natural radionuclide's levels along the Saudi Coastline of the Gulf ofAqaba.Arab J. Chem. 2013; 6:183-189.
9. Mtunzi FM, Dikio ED, Moja SJ. Evaluation of Heavy Metal Pollution on Soil in VaderbijlparkSouth Africa. Int. J. Environ. Mont. Analy. 2015; 3(2): 44-49Doi: 10.11648/j.ijema.20150302.13
10. Adewoyin OA, Hassan AT, Aladesida AA.The impact of auto mechanic workshopson soil and ground water in Ibadan Metropolis. African J. Environ. Sci. Tech. 2013; 7(9):891-898 Doi: 10.5897/AJEST2013.1462
11. Qingjie G, Jun D, Yunchuan X, Qingfei W, Liqiang Y. Calculating Pollution indices by Heavy Metals in Ecological Geochemistry Assessment and a case study in parks of Beijing. J. China Uni. of GeoSci. 2008; 9(3):230-241Doi: 10.1016/S1002-0705(08)60042-4.2008

12. Arao T, Ishikawa T, Murakam IM.Heavy Metal contamination of agricultural soil and counter measures in Japan. Paddy and Water Environ. 2010; 8(3):247-257Doi: 10.1007/S10333-010-0205-7
13. Zhang WJ, Jiang FB, Ou JF.Global Pesticides consumption and pollution in focus.Proc. Int. Aca. Eco. And Environ. Sci. 2011; 1(2):125-144.
14. Pam AA, Rufus S, Offem JO.Contribution of automobile mechanic sites to heavy metals in soil.A case study of North Bank Mechanic Village Makurdi, Benue State Central Nigeria. J. Chem. Biol. Phys. Sci. 2013; 3:337-2347
15. Adelakan BA, Abegunde KD, Heavy metals contamination of soil and groundwater at automobile mechanic villages in Ibadan, Nigeria. Int. J. Phys. Sci. 2011; 6(5):1045-1058 Doi: 10.5897/IJPS10.495
16. QinYS, Zhan J, Lin Z.Q. Study on the influence of combined pollution of heavy metals: Cu and Pb on soil respiration. J. Anhui Agric. Sci. 2008; 36(3):1117-1128
17. Yang YB, Sun LB. Status and Control counter measures of heavy metal. Pollution in Urban soil. J. Environ. Prot. Sci. 2009; 35(4):79-81.
18. Gupta V, Malik DS, Dinesh DK. Risk assessment of heavy metal pollution in middle stretch of River Ganga: An introspection. Int. Res.J. Environ. Sci. 2017; 6(2): 62-71
19. Ayangbero AS, Babalola OO. A New Strategy for Heavy Metal Polluted Environments: A Review of Microbial Biosorbents.Int.J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2017; 14:94Doi: 10.3390/ijerph1410094
20. Yu F, Zhu T, Li M, He J, Huang R. Heavy Metal contamination in soil and Brown Rice and Human Health Risk Assessment near Three Mining Areas in Central China. J. Healthcare Engr. 2017; 9: pages https;//Doi.org/10.1156/2017/4124302
21. Malik QA, Khan MS. Effect on Human Health due to Drinking Water Contaminated with Heavy Metals. J. Pollut. Eff. Cont. 2016; 5:179 Doi:10.4172/2375-4397.1000179
22. Sayadi MH, Torabi S. Geochemistry of Soil and Human Health: A Review. J. Poll. Res. 2009; 28: 257-262.
23. Jarup L. Hazard of heavy metals contamination. British Med. Bull.2003; 68:167-182.
24. Steeland K, Boffetta, P. Lead and cancer in humans: Where are now? Am. J. Ind. Med. 2000; 38: 295-299
25. Ekeocha CI, Ogukwe CE, Nikoro JO. Application of Multiple Ecological Risk Indices for the Assessment of Heavy Metal Pollution in Soils in Major Mechanic Villages in Abuja, Nigeria. British J. Appl. Sci. Tech. 2017; 19(2): 1-10. Doi:10.9734/BJAST/2017/30779
26. Nwachukwu MA, Uwaezu O, Ogbuja OI, Nwosu L. A Comprehensive Assessment of Heavy Metals Pollution in Soil using pH, Enrichment Factor and Pollution Index. American J. Environ. Sci. 2017; 13(2):191-203 Doi:103844/ajessp.2017.191.203
27. Chokor AA, Ekanem EO. Heavy Metals Contamination Profile in Soil from Automobile Workshops in Sapele, Nigeria.World J. Ana. Chem. 2016; 4(2):26-28 Doi:10.12691/wjac-4-2-3/Research Article
28. Wilberforce OOT. Accumulation of Toxic Metals in Soils of Different Sections of Mechanic Village in Abakiliki, Nigeria and their Health Implications. American Chem. Sci. J. 2016; 11(1):1-8 Doi:10.9734/ACSJ/2016/21546
29. Anegbe B, Okuo JM, Okieimen FE. Impact of Inorganic and Organic pollutants in soil from the vicinity of Mechanic Workshops in Benin City. Int. J. Chem. Stud. 2016; 4(3): 106-112
30. Damie G, Analyzing soil contamination status in garage and auto mechanic workshops of Shashemane City: Implication for hazardous waste management. Environ. Syst. Res. 2015; 4:15 https://doi.org/10.1186
31. Ogwo EI, Ukaogo OP, Egedeuzu CS. Assessment of heavy metals in soil: A case study of a Mechanic Workshop in KuminMashi Kaduna State. Sky J. Soil. Sci. Environ. Mgt. 2015; 5(5): 85-90
32. Nwachukwu MA, Ntesat B, Mbaneme FC. Assessment of direct soil pollution in automobile junk market. J. Environ. Chem. Ecotoxicol. 2013; 5(5):136-146 doi:10.5897/JECE2013.0280
33. George E, Sommer R, Ryan J. Method of Soil, Plant and Water Analysis. A manual forWest Asia and North Africa Region. 3rd ed. 2013
34. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC),15thed. Vol 1. Inc. Suite 400, 2200.Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22201 USA; 1990.

35. Rayment GE, Higginson FR, Australian Laboratory Handbook of Soil and Water Chemical Methods, Inkata Press, Melbourne, 1992
36. Joshi VD, Palei NN, Rachh PR. Physico-Chemical Properties of Four Farm site soils in area Surrounding Rajkot, Gujarat, India. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res. 2009; 1(3):709-713, CODEN (USA) ISSN: 0974-4290
37. Bouyoucous GJ, Hydrometer Method Improved for Making Particle-Size Analyses of Soils. Agronomy J. 1962; 54: 464-465
38. Joel OF, Amajuoyi CA, Physicochemical Characteristics and Microbial Quality of an Oil Polluted Site in Gokana, Rivers State. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage. 2009, 13(3): 99-103 Online Paper www.bioline.org.br/ja
39. Estefan G, Sommer R, Ryan J, Methods of soil, plant and water Analysis: A manual for the West Asia and North Africa Region.3rd edition.International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas box 114/5055, Beirut, Lebanon. 2013
40. McKay JL, Pederson TF, Mucci A. Sedimentary Redox contain in continental margin sediments (N.E Pacific) influenced on the accumulation of Redox- sensitive trace metals. Chem. Geol. 2007; 238(3-4):180-196
41. Iwegbue CMA, Isirimah NO, Igwe C, Williams ES.Characteristics levels of heavy metals in soil profile of automobile mechanic waste dumps in Nigeria. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech. 2006; 26:123-128Doi: 10.1007/s10669-006-7482-0
42. Elliot HA, Liberati MR, Huang CP. Competitive adsorption of heavy metals in soils. J. Environ. Qua.1986; 15: 214-219.
43. Yahaya MI, Ezeh GC, Musa YF, Mohammad SY. Analysis of heavy metal concentration in road sides in Yauri, Nigeria. AfricanJ. Pure. Appl. Chem.2010; 4(3): 22-30.
44. Saadia RT, Azka A. Comparative evaluation of Phytoremediation of metal contaminated soil of firing range by four different plant species. Arabian J. Chem.2013; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.09.024
45. Iwegbue CMA, Bassey FI, Tesi GO, Nwajei GE,Tsafe AI.Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soils around cassava processing mills in sub-urban areas of Delta State, Southern Nigeria. J. Basic. App. Sci. 2013; 21(2): 96-104.
46. Osakwe SA. Effect of cassava processing mill effluent on physical and chemical properties of soils in Abraka and Environs, Delta State, Nigeria. J. Chem. Mat. Res. 2012; 2(7) ISSN 2224-3224(Print), ISSN 2225-0956 (online).
47. Waheshi YAA, El-Gammal MI, Ibrahim M, Okbah MAA. Distribution and Assessment of Heavy Metal Levels using Geoaccumulation Index and Pollution Load Index in Lake, EdkuSediments Egypt. Int. J. Environ. Mont. Anal. 2017; 5(1), 1-8 Doi: 10.11648/j.ijema.20170501.11
48. Seshan BRR, Natesan U, Deepthi K. Geochemical and Statistical approach for evaluation of heavy metal pollution in core sediments in South-East Coast of India. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech. 2010; 7(2): 291- 306. ISSN 1735-1472.
49. Ipediyeda AR,Dwodu M. Heavy metal contamination of top soil and dispersion in the vicinities of reclaimed auto repairs workshop in Iwo, Nigeria. Bul. Chem. Soc. Ethiopia. 2008; 22(3): 339-348.
50. Akoto OA, Ephraim JH, Darko G. Heavy metals pollution in surface soils in the vicinity of abundant railway servicing workshop in Kumasi, Ghana. Int. J. Environ. Res. 2008; 2(4):359-364.
51. Adaikpoh EO.Distribution of Enrichment of heavy metals in soil from waste dump sites within Imoruand Environs, Southern Nigeria. J. Environ. Earth Sci. 2013; 3:14 ISSN 2224-316 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0948
52. Umoren IU, Onianwa PC.Concentration and distribution of some heavy metals in urban soils in Ibadan, Nigeria. Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res. 2005; 48(6):397-403.
53. Sayadi MH, Sayyed MRG, Shabani N. Quantification of heavy metal pollutants in the surface soils of Chitgar industrial area Tehran, with spatial references to their spatial pattern. J. Poll. Res. 2011; 28:345-351.
54. Håkanson L. Ecological Risk Index for Aquatic Pollution Control. A Sedimentological Approach.Wat.Res. 1980; 14:975-100.Doi: 10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143.8
55. Japtap MN, Kulkami MV, Puranik PR. Flux of heavy metals in soils irrigation with urban waste water. American Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci. 2010; (5):487-493

56. Babatunde OA, Oyewale OA, Steve PI, Bioavailabity of trace elements in soil around NNPC oil depots Jos, Nigeria. J. Environ. Toxic. Food Tech. 2008; 8(1):47-56
57. Nwachukwu MA, Feng H, Alinnor J. Trace Metal Dispersion in Soil from Auto-Mechanic Village to Urban Residential Areas in Owerri, Nigeria. Proc. Environ. Sci. 2011; 4:310-322 Doi:10.1016/j.proenv.2011.03.036
58. Udosoro II, Umoren IU, Asuquo EO. Survey of some heavy metal concentration in selected soils in South Eastern parts of Nigeria. World J. Appl. Sci. Tech. 2010; 2(2):139-149.
59. Bassey EE, Ajayi IO.Geoenvironmental Assessments of Heavy Metals in Surface sediments from some Creeks of the Great Kwa River, Southern Nigeria. J. Environ. Earth Sci. 2014; 4:21 ISSN 2224-3216 (Paper), ISSN 2225-0948 (Online).
60. Turekian KK, Wedepohl KH. Distribution of the elements in some major units of the earth crust. J. Geol. Soc. America. 1961; 72:175-192.
61. Hong AH, Law PL, Onni SS. Environmental burden of Heavy metal contamination levels in soil from sewage irrigation area of Gerigo Catchment, Nigeria. J. Civil. Environ. Res. 2014; 6(10): 118-124.
62. Nwajei GE, Iwegbue CMA. Trace metal concentration in the vicinity of Uwelu, Motor spare parts Market, Benin City, Nigeria. J. Chem. Soc. Nigeria. 2007;32(2): 283-286.
63. Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR). Environmental Guideline and standards for the Petroleum Industries in Nigeria (Revised Edition). Depart. Pet. Nat. Res. Abuja. Nigeria; 2002.
64. He Z, Shentu J, Yan X, Baligar VC, Zhang T, Stoffeh PJ. Heavy metal contamination of soils: Sources, indicators and assessment. J. Environ. Indic. 2015; 9:17-18
65. Department of Environmental Affairs, 2010. The framework for the management of contaminated land, South Africa. Available online: http://sawic.environment.gov.za/documents/562
66 European Commission Director General Environment, (ECDGE). Heavy Metals and Organic Compounds from Wastes Used as Organic Fertilizers. Final Rep., July WPAConsulting Engineers Inc. Ref. Nr. TEND/AML/2001/07/20,2010: 73-74 http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/compost/pdf/hm_finalreport.pdf
67. Environmental Protection Ministry of China. Standards of Soil Environmental Quality of Agricultural Land; Environmental Protection Ministry of China: Beijing, China, 2015.
68. European Commission on Environment 2002. Heavy Metals in Wastes. Available online: http//c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.productstewardship.us/resource/resmg/imported/heavy%2 0 metalsP%20in%20Waste.pdf (accessed on 13th May, 2017)
69. Chiroma TM, Ebewele RO, Hymore K. Comparative assessment of heavy metal levels in soil, vegetables and urban grey waste water used for irrigation in Yola and Kano. Int. Ref. J. Eng. Sci. 2014; 3:1-9
70. Puyate YT, Rim-Rukeh A, Awatefe JK. Metal Pollution Assessment and Particle Size Distribution of Bottom Sediment of Orogodo River, Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria. J. Appl. Sci. Res 2007; 3(12):2056-2061.
71. Adamu CI,Nganje TN, Heavy metal contamination of surface soil in relation to land use patterns: A case study of Benue State, Nigeria. Mat. Sci. Appl. 2010; 1(1):127-134.