## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_35744
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of elemental pollution in roadside dust northeast of Nairobi major highway and at Thika town, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Study design:collected from along; put a full stop at the end.  Methodology:and analysis of Al, was done using  Line 16: 23 %  Line 17: delete 'per' write 'according to'  Line 19: write % not in words  Line 49:northeast of  Line 52: Give the reference for the data reported.  Line 55:which is one  Line 58: Kwame Nkruma road sampling has not been mentioned in the abstract.  Line 75: Remove the numbering within the Table.  Line 84: What about this case? The sample is not sediments.  Line 87: delete 'below'  Line 88: sampling 'site'  Line 89: Is the range in increasing or decreasing order?  Line 90: But heavy metals has higher concentrations according to your order?  Line 93: Table 2.2  Line 95-96: Give a reference for the data.  Line 101-104: remove '=' and write 'means'  Line 105: What is 'they'? Write it by name.  Line 113:Table 2.3  Line 131:up to  Note that it is not the metals that were polluted but it is the soil/dust that was polluted/unpolluted by the respective metals.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Refer to your tables by writing them exactly like in the figure caption e.g. Table 2.3 not table 2.3	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Caroline Lomalungelo Diamini
Department, University & Country	Nanotechnology and Water Sustainability (NanoWS) Research Unit College of Science,
	Engineering and Technology University of South Africa (UNISA), South Africa

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)