



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_30939
Title of the Manuscript:	Novel diazole and dibenthiophenenedioxide containing tetracyclic systems with promising biological activities
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The quality of writing is too poor, the whole manuscript should be reviewed by a native English speaker. 2. The procedures are inappropriately stated Nomenclature is inappropriate, e.g. authors have to write acetamido not acetamino	
Minor REVISION comments	1. What meant by control in the synthetic procedure? 2. In IR, authors have to mention only characteristic absorption bands, those for OH, NH, C=O, and C≡N 3. ¹³CNMR data are missed 4. Elemental microanalysis of compound 12 is incorrect, there is big difference between calculated and found C, H, N values 5. Sometimes, ¹H NMR signals are not well arranged, e.g., compound 16, authors wrote 8.53 (1H, d, H-2), 7.70(1H, m, J 5,6=7.73, J5,7=1.11, J5,8=0.63, H-5), 10.20(1H, bs, NH). 6. Concerning references, - The volume sometimes mentioned twice - Sometimes, year is missed - Some references have 2 numbering - Page range sometimes mentioned and othertimes not - Journal names sometimes abbreviated and othertimes not Therefore, authors have to write all references similarly.	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

--	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nadia Sabry El-Gohary</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Medicinal Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Egypt</i>