



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_35086
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation, Microstructure and Performance of Activated FA / TiO ₂ -WO ₃ Composite Photocatalyst
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The pore size distribution of the supplementary material must be provided. 2. The role of FA in the photocatalytic process is not clearly explained. 3. SEM of the raw TiO₂-WO₃ must be provided.	1. The BET of samples have been tested in paper, the pore size data had not necessary listed. 2. The role of FA in the photocatalytic process have been explained in 3.3(150-160)---"it was inferred that the adsorption process played a dominant role and reach adsorption-desorption equilibrium in 10 min.....". 3. SEM of the raw TiO₂-WO₃ have been added in revised paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		