



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_31963
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy metals and essential elements in selected medicinal Plants commonly used for Medicine in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The names of species are not written with <i>italic</i> (examples: lines 16 – 22, 51, 52, 105, 197, 202, 204, 205, 208, 221 – 226, 241 – 248, 258, 261, 275, 286, 288, 289, 292, 312, 313, Table 1); 2. In abstract the one of species is abbreviated (<i>M. oleifera</i>) and the other not (<i>Hibiscus sabsdariffa</i>). Please uniformise! 3. In Table 1 from page 2 in the first paragraphs are points before the bracket with authors. Correctly is to be in the end. Example: glandular swelling.(The Wealth of India, 1962; Padmarao et al., 1996; Dahot, 1988; Ruckmani et al., 1998) 4. In line 112, is missing the point from the end. 5. In lines 191 – 194 there is no unit of measurements for the content of minerals. Please correct! 6. In line 195 the word Oleifera is written with caps lock. Correctly is oleifera and italicized (<i>oleifera</i>). 7. In line 260 the unit of measurement is not correct (260 mg/k). 8. In line 307 the point from the end is missing again. 9. In line 326 correct is lead and not Lead!	1 and 2: The corrections were done accordingly. As changes was made from <i>M. Oleifera</i> to <i>Moringa oleifera</i>) and (<i>H. Sabsdariffa</i> to <i>Hibiscus sabsdariffa</i>) the names were made uniforms 3. For Table 1: The correction was done accordingly. 4. The point was added 5. The unit of measurement was added 6. The word <i>Oleifera</i> was replaced with the word <i>oleifera</i> 7. The unit of measurement was written correctly (from 260 mg/k to 260 mg/kg) 8, 9 and 10. The missing point was added, the



SDI Review Form 1.6

	10. In line 331 there are two brackets without nothing in them [] 11. In Table 3 correct is to write the name of species with italic and not the name of the parts of them. 12. In conclusion section correct is to refer only at the proper results and not to the literature data [Although there is no available sufficient information on the level of toxic metals like Pb and Cd etc, in medicinal plants from Tanzania, several recent studies in other places in the world have reported that some medicinal plants used in China, Indopaskistan subcontinent, India, Ghana, Nigeria and Cameroon contains high levels of heavy metals and their continuous use might be toxic (Hussain <i>et al.</i>, 2006; Shad Ali Khan <i>et al.</i>, 2008; Siddique <i>et al.</i>, 2010)]. This information could be utilized in introduction or in discussion section. 13. In text the resources from literature not respect the rules of the journal and more than that are written very different. Example: page 3, line 83 Anjorin <i>et al.</i>, 2010 and in line 95 Mebale <i>et al.</i> 2014, page 3, line 90 Memon <i>et al.</i>, 2001. In page 2, lines 158 and 159 the authors are in different brackets. Example: The first one was Cabbage (IAEA 359) [IAEA, 2000] and the second was Soil (IAEA-Soil 7) [IAEA,	word <i>Lead</i> was changed into <i>lead</i> and finally the reference was inserted. 11. The table was formatted and the names of species were italicised. 12. The conclusion was re-written to reflect the reviewers' comments 13. The text resources were formatted accordingly. This formatting reflects the rules of the Journal
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	2000b] both were..... 14. There are more problems in what concerns the resources from literature data! In this sense, are reference presented in bibliography section which not exist in text (Example: Manzoor, 2003-2009, Manzoor, 2011, Rousseau, 2005, Mozaffari-Khosravi, 2009), or more than that in text are more authors which are not present in the reference list. Are more than 60 references in paper, but in the list from the end of paper are only 33!!!!!!! 15. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets. Example: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002; 32 (4):489-98.	14. The missing reference in the bibliography but present in texts were Incorporated accordingly and reference present in the bibliography but missing in the text were inserted. 15. The recommendations on references were done according to reviewers' needs and journal rules.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		