

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_45822
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS OF CORROSION IN MARINE HEAT EXCHANGER PERFORMANCE IN TWO MED COPPER C-642
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the many his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The first part of this paper contains a lot of references, but there are few references related to the contents of this paper, mostly emphasizing the importance of corrosion research; and there are three literatures in the past five years, therefore the references of this study cannot fully reflect the current innovation and advancement in this paper. Please explain the meaning of the parameters in Formula 1. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 The experimental results have been shown in the graphs and tables in the paper, so it is not necessary to show the calculation process again. Taking mass loss rate as corrosion rate cannot reflect uniform corrosion and pitting corrosion. In marine environment, corrosion of materials is mostly pitting corrosion. Therefore, only using mass loss as corrosion rate has certain limitations. How to analyze pitting corrosion? 	
Optional/General comments		

EDIA USING CAST STEEL C-1020 AND

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yingzi Zhang
Department, University & Country	Harbin Institute of Technology, China

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her