



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_44513
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY ON MANUALLY OPERATED ONION BULBLET PLANTER OVER A TRADITIONAL METHOD OF PLANTING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are very few References, and they are not incorporated in the text. Complete with more references and incorporate them to the text. Materials must be completed. The authors test a machine but nothing is said in relation to the characteristics of the evaluated machine, differences with the machinery in use, etc. It would be appropriate to incorporate a photo or scheme of the machine. There in no discussion!!!!	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper must be completed and improved for acceptance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Roberto
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina