



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_43594
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of organic manures and biofertilizers on the growth and yield of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) varieties
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title, Line 153-154: Italicise the scientific name Consider changing the title to: Organic manures and biofertilizers effects on growth and yield of.... Lines 6-9, 9-16, 32-36, 40-44, 44-52, 70-74, 81-85: Split long sentences for clarity Line 11: Insert comma after plant Line 18: Conclusions and recommendations? Line 24: Delete since Line 30: Replace "to make their" for limiting its Line 31: Replace FYM, vermicompost and biofertilizers for organic fertilizers and have the two sentences as one Line 44: Replace consisting for consisted Line 46: Were the nutrient contents of the organic fertilizers determined? This is critical as it would aid the discussion of results by stating that fertilizer x responded in a certain way due to differences in the nutrient content Line 46: What organic resources were vermicomposted? What organic resources made up the FYM? Edit grammar throughout the document using a grammar editor e.g. Grammarly Line 52: What was the soil nutrient content before and after the study? This can be used in discussing the results and in giving the recommendations Line 63: Should the reference to the table be before or after the paragraph? Line 68: The differences could also be attributed to varietal differences in nutrient use efficiency. This can be inferred in this study and could form the basis of further studies on the nutrient use efficiencies of the different varieties Line 68, 86, 94: Insert new paragraph Line 84: Mutational? Not clear Line 93: Replace "and the magnitude of in curse was to the tune of" for by and ever for over the Line 109: Recommendations? Line 147, 149,150, 155, 157: Check the spacing between words Line 150: Italicize journal name Line 157: Close the brackets Consider reading more recent literature on the subject to improve your introduction, discussion and recommendations.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebecca Yegon
Department, University & Country	University of Embu, Kenya