SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_45663**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Characterization of Nucleocapsid protein gene of Groundnut bud necrosis virus (GBNV) in Tamil Nadu and its phylogeny relationship**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The text contains numerous language and style errors which I have corrected with track changes. Revise and accept the track changes** **At least 6 references in the text are missing in the bibliography. Add those missing references.**	**Thank you for your review comments. Corrections have been done.**
Minor REVISION comments	The style of the bibliography is not coherent. Correct this.	Corrections have been done.
Optional/General comments	The research and the discussion of the results is done well. The conclusions are correct.	Thank you.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	