



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_44464
Title of the Manuscript:	ADSORPTION AND OPTIMIZATION STUDIES OF CONGO RED FROM SOLUTION USING MONTMORILLONITE-SILICA NANOCOMPOSITE
Type of Article:	Original research paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The writing and spelling must be thoroughly reviewed again. For example, this paragraph "This scenario is against the report by [20-23] where the removal percentage increases with increase in initial concentrations. However, a similar case was observed in an investigations by [24, 25] where removal percentage increases with increase in initial concentration and thereafter have a sharp decrease" could be better written as: This scenario is against the reported by some researchers [20-23] where the removal percentage increases with increase in initial concentrations. However, a similar case was observed in investigations done by [24, 25] where removal percentage increases with increase in initial concentration and thereafter have a sharp decrease. Why?	You should have highlighted the areas with spelling mistakes and sentences that need reconstructed instead of asking us for a general review. As for example you have given, we have no objection, the correction is noted. Research is about presenting a concrete result obtained the way it is and not about a perceived result. We have presented our findings, it is left for researchers to verify our findings. As for why the removal percentage increases with increase in initial concentration and thereafter have a sharp decrease is explained in the write up immediately after the sentence.