SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_6445**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Stochastic Optimization Models for Cancer Chemotherapy**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In line 188 on page 8 of this paper: ...is an "increasing" function of Initial Size of malignant cells when…Please make sure whether the analysis conflicts with the results in Table-1. 2. In line 197 on page 8 of this paper: …the rate of cell transition from mutant stage to malignant stage is a "decreasing" function,…of maximum targeted time of cell in malignant stage…Please make sure whether the analysis conflicts with the results (from 24262.65 to 47354.97) in Table-2. 3. Please add more explanation for therapy or biology from your analysis about Table-1 and Table-2 to complete your analysis.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer