Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_43428**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analysis of energy, use pattern and change its scenario for sugarcane crop in Narsinghpur (M.P.), India.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title of Manuscript: "Analysis of energy, use pattern and change its scenario for sugarcane crop in Narsinghpur (M.P.), India." Date of Review : 22 July, 2018 The study is quite interesting but I have these few comments to make; The title of this manuscript may be reconstructed like this "Analysis of Energy and Use Pattern in sugarcane Production in Narsinghpur, India" The Abstract contained research findings in a concise manner but the conclusion/recommendations, sampling techniques and method of data analysis among others were conspicuously missing. The introduction contained salient information about the key issues in this study but should be strengthened by adding some other theoretical and empirical review on works centred on energy sources used in sugarcane cultivation and also consulting some relevant and retrievable references for this. The objectives of this study were not made clear. The objectives of the study should be presented towards the end of the introduction section. It should be given in flowing sentences with no bullet points or numbering. Materials and Methods section has some critical elements that are conspicuously missing in this study, these are; description of the study area (check the original corrected manuscript-3.1. Agricultural Scenario of Madhya Pradesh), method of data collection, sampling techniques/procedures among others. What is the sample size for this study? The socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents for this study were also missing in this study. In Results and Discussion, the findings of this research were not compared with the already existing works/studies in the literature either to validate or support their findings in relation to your own or refute it. Tables and figures utilized in this study did not indicate the sources; author(s) needs to add sources of these at the bottom of the figures and tables. The conclusion should also incorporate the recommendations emanating from the research findings. The recommendations may be presented in paragraphs and not necessarily in bullet points or numbers. The references utilized for this study can still be increased when comments given on this manuscripts were consciously attended to which would lead to high quality of research output. Author(s) should check journal's referencing guideline for proper referencing making sure that the references are relevant, recent and highly retrievable by the readers of this study. **Plagiarism issues:** I discovered that the authors have some similar published papers in the same journal like, Energy Analysis for Cultivation of Sugarcane: A Case Study in Narsinghpur (M. P.), India. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology 28(2): 1-10. And Assessment of Energy Use Pattern in Different operations from	

	Various Sources for Cultivation of Sugarcane in the District of Narsinghpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 28(1): 1-9. The title of this manuscript needed to be revisited because of multiple or very similar titles by the same authors on the search engine.	
Minor REVISION comments	All the minor revision comments are already given in the original manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Olutosin A. Otekunrin***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta (FUNAAB), Nigeria***