



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42993
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Anchorage Strength of Bonded-In Steel Bars with Epoxy Resin, Varying the Superficial Treatments and Moisture After Bonding, In Corymbia citriodora Timbers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. There are only 6 references in the article, and no literature in the past five years canfully reflect the current innovation and advancement in this paper. 2. The experimental description is not clear, which has a great impact on the experimental results. (1) The mechanical properties of wood, steel bar, resin materials are not described. (2) In this paper, the location of steel bars cannot be clearly described by the test size drawing. It is suggested to add the actual specimen pictures. (3) The loading device and loading rate are not mentioned. 3. The analysis is not deep and the conclusion is too simple.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yingzi Zhang
Department, University & Country	Department of Civil Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, China