



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42516
Title of the Manuscript:	Resilience of the Caregivers Attending to Alzheimer's Patients
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Dear author, I congratulate you on your investigation. However, I would advise you to use the reporting guidelines of scientific studies such as the STROBE Statement, so that you have a guide to structuring your manuscript. I would also suggest you: - distinguish between informal and formal caregivers - to be more precise when, for example, you conclude in the results the impact of caregiver assistance on resilience was related to the patient. Therefore I suggest you be much more precise - discuss in depth the why of your results compared to international studies good job	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Franco Mantovan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Verona, Italy</i>