



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42200
Title of the Manuscript:	ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION AND THE SOLUTION PROXIMITY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	As general, this paper is based on a research work in which "an experiment was carried out to ascertain the ant colony optimization and the solution proximity vis-à-vis solving the complexity posed by nature and humans." In detail, the paper provides findings – results in this manner. From a general perspective, the paper provides an interesting research with a recent topic. So, it seems publishable. But before it can be published, the following minor revisions should be done: 1- The citing should be as like: 1, 2, 3,...etc. That should be corrected in the paper accordingly. 2- Total number of references is OK. But there should be also a few recent references from 2017, and 2018; in order to improve general quality and keep the paper up-to-date. Thanks the author(s) for their valuable efforts to form this paper – research.	
Optional/General comments	-	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utku Kose
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Computer Engineering, Suleyman Demirel University, Turkey