

Reviewer's suggestions

1. General comments

- You need to enumerate the topics. For example, 1. Background to study, 2. Study area.
- Page 1, line 8: cloud cover; Wind change to cloud cover, wind.
- Page 1, lines 12-13: It is not necessary repeat again, you can remove it.
- Page 2, line 44: Remove the “,”. The correct is “Ranter (2003)”.
- Page 2, line 51: Remove the “,”. The correct is “(Theusner and Röhner, 2006)”.
- Page 2, lines 52-53: Remove the “,”. The correct is “Theusner and Röhner (2006)”.
- Page 3, line 67: Remove the “,”. The correct is “Hauf (2002)”.
- Page 3, lines 71-72: You can change “The methods to assess the weather impact on aviation include Theusner and Röhner, (2006).” to “According to Theusner and Röhner (2006) the methods to assess the weather impact on aviation include:”
- Page 3, line 79: What does CB mean?
- Page 3, lines 81, 84 and 86: Do you use or no the “.”? Follow the same patterns used in the other sentences, that is, remove the “.”.
- Page 5, line 113: Remove the “)” and use “,”.
- Page 5, line 114: Change “(VFR), (NAIP 2013).” to “(VFR, NAIP, 2013)”.
- Page 5, line 116: Fixe the coordinates, it is missing the seconds.
- Page 5, line 116: The correct is “Figure 1”.
- Page 5, line 117: Change to (NAIP, 2013).
- Page 6, Line 130: You have to insert a name for your picture.
- Page 7, line 138: You need to review the way how the references are used. In this case, you are using the wrong way. You have to use “...in the far north either (Balogun, 2001)”. Sometimes you are right!
- Page 7, line 147: Here you are right “(Balogun, 2001)”. Kept going this way!
- Page 7, line 149: The correct is “Cumulonimbus”.
- Page 8, line 157: F.C.T or FCT?
- Page 8, line 173: Change “ITCZ” to “it”. Avoid repeat the words in the same sentence.
- Page 9, line 184: Changce “Jibril (2006)” to “(Jibril, 2006).”
- Page 9, line 186: F.C.T or FCT?
- Page 9, line 195: Change “Airport respectively.” to “Airport, respectively”.
- Page 9, line 189: Use “Data and Methodology” and remove “Mode of Data Collection” and “Data Analysis”.

- Page 9, line 200: Will you use (WNSPD) in other place? In negative case, remove it.
- Page 10, line 219: Change “RESULTS PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION” to “Results and Discussions”, only.
- Page 10, line 219:
- Page 10, line 224: Include space, “14 years”.
- Page 10, 225: “table 1..” or “Table 1”?
- Page 11, line 227: Is it necessary to align the table, are two tables or just one?
- Page 12, line 240: For me, this result shows nothing.
- Page 12, line 260: Remove the first “,” at Enete, *et. al.*, (2015).
- Page 14, line 304: The correct is “Table”. Table 2 or 3?

2. Specific comments

- About the results and discussions: For me it is confusing because the correlation was not good, but there was significance.
- Page 10, line 219: Am I wrong, or did the author (s) only modify the values from one paragraph to another? The text was very annoying to be read because it is just a repetition from one paragraph to another.
- Page 11, line 229: The result about flight cancellation and visibility is good or not? It is not clear to me. The correlarion was negative but the significance showed the correlation coefficient is significant. Could you explain that?
- The article is interesting, but in the way it is found there are no conditions to be public. The biggest problem was the way the results were discussed, I understood that there was a repetition of the textual structure occurring only the modification of the values depending on the analyzed variable. Unfortunately, I do not recommend the article to be published in this magazine in the way it is.