



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_39951
Title of the Manuscript:	CLIMATE CHANGE INDICATIONS FOR THE CARIBBEAN REGION. GENERAL TRENDS IN TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION (1900 – 2009)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 12 and 13: 30years (1990 to 2009) 19years instead Abstract: author did not clearly specified how they got their data and how it was analysed Line 32 it is generally instead of it is general Look at this in your discussion and conclusion 1990 to 2009 averages compared to the 1900 to 2009 average is 1990-2009 not part of 1900-2009? No materials and method Discussion not properly done	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author's had good abstract and introduction but went off the track in discussion. No materials and method. They are therefore advised to check other publications to see how articles are arranged	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvester Odiana
Department, University & Country	Environmental Management And Toxicology, University Of Benin, Nigeria