



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_39762
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Multiple Regression Model in Predicting the Dissolve Oxygen Deficit of Ntawaogba River
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	English is poor. There are a lot of incomplete sentences and misspelling like Ph (should be pH), dissolved oxygen (dissolved oxygen) etc. There are no table captions. I did not understand table 3 represents which sampling point's results. All tables needs to be clarified. Figures needs to be proven in terms of English. In discussion section author stated that "However, there was a significant oxygen deficit at the point 4 and 5 in Fig. (4) For 190 dissolved-oxygen.". He/she did not explain why. The results need to be discussed in detail in discussion section. Each sampling point needs to be discussed in detail. The manuscript needs to be re-written.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Basak Taseli
Department, University & Country	Environmental Engineering Department, Giresun University, Turkey