



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_38295
Title of the Manuscript:	Jute sector development – The need of linkage between research, farmers and industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I found the study very interesting but I have some few comments to make; • The title can be reframe like this "Jute Production: The need for Linkage among Research, farmers and Industries in West Bengal, India". • The abstract section should be rewritten to capture the salient findings in this study. • The introduction section is to short for this kind of study. You can still spend time to search the literature on the production of jute in the world and West Bengal, India place in the overall production. This will make this study more interesting. • The methodology section was not well structured. The analytical tool to be used for the study was not included or not well written. • This study should have research problem in which research questions can be generated. You can include this. • The method of selecting your respondent for this study should also be very clear to your reader. • In your results and discussion section, you can do more literature search for more documented or published research findings to compare and contrast with your own findings in terms of results which would help in discussing your findings. • After your conclusion, your study should provide some recommendations for policy makers in this aspect of your research identifying the gaps your study is set to fill in the jute production aspect of the agricultural development in your study area. • Your references section should be well written keeping in mind the corrections in the main manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	These are already in the main manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	No	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olutosin A. Otekunrin
Department, University & Country	Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria