



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_37201
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATING THE EFFECT OF LOADSHEDDING ON OUTAGE RATE IN A LOW VOLTAGE NETWORK
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title of this paper is okay. Abstract is okay Introduction is not enough there is no justification of the current work. However, there is no flow in the introduction. Authors are advised to use more current research works as reference. And also to show was is lacking, that is gaps. Methodology is now well explained and there is mix up between method used and results. This must be done as major correction of this paper. Discussion of the results is week. More details should be given.	
Minor REVISION comments	Use of language is poor	
Optional/General comments	paper needs fundamental reframing	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salisu Muhammad Lawan
Department, University & Country	Electrical Engineering, Kano University of Science and Technology, Nigeria