SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_36623**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Performance of a Rainwater harvesting system in University College Hospital, Nigeria using RainCycle Model**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In abstract, in the sentence, Monte Carlo simulation shows the importance influence, importance is to be written as impotant. In study area section - land mass (400 km2) should be written as land area In section 2.5 - PUEnt is not seen in the equation 4 and c is not defined. Eq 4 should be checked for terms. Word savings from fig-9 has moved below the fig-8 and it should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***C.R.Ramakrishnaiah***
Department, University & Country	***Civil Engineering, Visvesvaraya Technological University, India***