



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_42316
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of energy use pattern in different operations from various sources for cultivation of sugarcane in the district of Narsinghpur, Madhya Pradesh.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject of the manuscript "Assessment of energy use pattern in different operations from various sources for cultivation of sugarcane in the district of Narsinghpur, Madhya Pradesh" The author/authors chosen a subject that was related to energy usage of sugarcane tha is an important crop for Indian agricultural areas. Along with that, some suggestions can be seen <u>in the attached file</u> and some main recommendations were written in below. • The writing of the manuscript should have been more careful. • The title should be shortened and should be added the word of "India" at the end of title. • Introduction section should be extended. The subjects of energy usage for agricultural production and the some previous researches carried out in the world should be defined. • Material and method section should be clearer, so it should be extended. For example Equation 2 should be checked, the definition related to animal and electricity should be existed. • Figures should be more understandable, the should be converted to the tables. • The writing of unit should be corrected such as Kg - kg • The results should be discussed with literature findings. • The SI units have to be used in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Murad Canakci</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dept. of Agricultural Machinery and Technologies Engineering, Akdeniz University, Turkey</i>