

Assessment of the Levels of Some Heavy Metals Content in the Glass Sand Deposit in Kazaure, Nigeria

Waziri, M.^{1*}, Audu, A.A.² and Shuaibu, L.²

¹ Department of Chemistry, Yobe State University, P.M.B.1144, Damaturu-Nigeria

² Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, Bayero University, P.M.B. 3011, Kano –Nigeria.

ABSTRACT:

Aims: The study is aimed at analyzing soil samples from various sampling points of the Kazaure glass sand deposit for the levels of lead, cadmium, chromium, nickel, iron, zinc, copper and manganese in order to ascertain the environmental impact of the mining activity.

Study design: To assess the levels of Heavy metals in the glass sand deposits and their effects on the environment by comparing results with standards obtained in literature.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, Bayero University, Kano-Nigeria. September 2012- December 2012.

Methodology: We determined the soil pH and concentrations of Pb, Cd, Cr, Ni, Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn in fourteen samples of glass sand deposit from Kuma Dangibga village, south of Kazaure town in Kano State, Nigeria. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometric method was used and results obtained were compared with safe limits for metals in soils to ascertain the levels of metal pollution.

Results: Soil pH values ranged from 7.54 ± 0.23 to 8.36 ± 0.11 indicating neutral to slightly alkaline. The mean levels of metals obtained ranged from 1.25 ± 0.00 ppm Cd to 81.16 ± 5.02 ppm Zn and in the following order of abundance: Zn > Fe > Pb > Ni > Cr > Cu > Mn > Cd. With the exception of Cd and Cr, the concentrations of the other metals were found to be below the safe limits for metals in soils. Analysis of the result indicates that there no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between the metal content of the glass sand and those from the adjacent control sites.

Conclusion: The results indicate pollution by Cd and Cr which need to be addressed since the lands around the vicinity of the mining site are being utilized for agricultural purposes.

Keywords: Glass sand deposit, Mining site, Pollution, Safe limits

* Tel.: +2348033670619.

E-mail address: maimunakadai@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction of harmful substances into the environment has been shown to have many adverse effects on human health, agricultural productivity and the natural ecosystem [1]. Heavy metal pollution of the environment, even at low levels and the resulting long-term cumulative health effects are among the leading health concerns all over the world [2]. Concern over the possible ecological effects of the increasing accumulation of metallic contaminants in the environment is growing [3]. Some human activities such as industrial processing, domestic sewage generation, mining operations, garbage productions, metallurgical and cottage industrial activities have been linked to metal pollution of soils [4]. Human activities can however raise the bio-available forms of heavy metals that human beings can readily take up through crops. This happens because such activities affect a number of soil parameters such as organic matter, clay and pH levels, which in turn significantly raises availability of metal levels for the uptake by plants. In the absence of human activities, background levels of such metals are relatively low and hence pose little threats to human health [5]. Once heavy metals enter the soil, they may undergo several changes depending upon physical, chemical and biological properties of the soils. Bioavailability of these heavy metals is very important as it is a gateway for the metals into the food chain [6].

Lead, cadmium, chromium, nickel and copper are known to be very toxic and have very chronic health implications even at very low levels [7-10]. At low levels iron, zinc and manganese can be regarded as essential in the metabolic processes of living organisms [10, 11, 12]. The environmental effects of solid minerals mining activities in Nigeria has not been given serious attention until the limestone dust issue on the Tse-Kucha and Tse-Amua communities of Gboko, Benue state was reported [13]. Thereafter, Birnin Yauri and Argungun [14] have reported on the pollution problems of some areas of north western Nigeria but not much has been done on the heavy metal contents of the mining sites and their possible effects on the quality of soil and its biotic community. Thus, the present study is aimed at analyzing soil samples from various sampling points of the Kazaure glass sand deposit for the levels of lead, cadmium, chromium, nickel, iron, zinc, copper and manganese in order to ascertain the environmental impact of the mining activity.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

The study area is a glass sand deposit located at Kurna Dangibga village, fifteen kilometers, south of Kazaure town in Nigeria on the Kano – Daura – Niger Republic high way. Three other villages (Kuma dangibga, Fauriya and Dustawa) located at a distance of 500m, 1500m and 2000m from the study area were selected as reference uncontaminated areas (Figure 1).

2.2 Sampling

Ten sampling points identified as SP1, SP2, SP3, SP4, SP5, SP6, SP7, SP8, SP9 and SP10 at a distance of 50 m from each other within the sand deposit area were selected (Figure 1). About 150 g of the soil sample was collected from each sampling point by the use of clean stainless steel trowel from 0-15cm depth [3]. Three representative samples (150g) were collected from each sampling point at each sampling period using the coning and quartering method [15]. Four soil samples (controls) were also collected from the three reference areas by the same method and labeled as CT1, CT2, CT3 and CT4. The control samples were collected at distances of 500m, 750m, 1500m and 2000m respectively from the mine site. All

the samples were packaged in labeled polyethylene bags and taken to the laboratory for analysis.

2.2.1 Sample Treatment/Analysis

The samples were air-dried in the laboratory, thoroughly mixed and sieved through a 2mm nylon sieve and oven-dried at 105°C to constant weight. Sample digestion and preparations were carried out according to USEPA method [16].

Serial dilution method was used to prepare the working solutions and the concentrations of the metals in each sample digest were determined using the Buck 210 VGP model/flame atomic absorption spectrometer equipped with a digital readout system.

2.4 Data Analysis

Data obtained were analyzed using Microsoft Excel and results were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. The student t-test was used to test for significant differences. Statistical variations were considered significant at $p < 0.05$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis are shown in Table 1. The pH of the soil samples ranged from 7.54 ± 0.23 to 8.36 ± 0.11 indicating neutral to slightly alkaline samples. The results showed that absorption of these metals by plants would not be feasible since at high pH, nutrients become insoluble and plants cannot readily extract them [17]. None of the metals exhibited any dominance at all the sites. Highest levels of Cd (7.91 ± 5.02 ppm) and Zn (81.16 ± 5.02 ppm) were recorded at SP1 while SP2 recorded highest level of Cu (23.81 ± 3.40 ppm). SP4 recorded highest level of Pb (33.22 ± 3.97 ppm) while highest level of Ni (21.41 ± 7.01 ppm) was recorded at SP5. Similarly varied levels of the metals were recorded at SP6, SP7, and SP10. The general trend for the mean concentrations of metals analyzed in the samples showed that: Zn > Fe > Pb > Ni > Cr > Cu > Mn > Cd.

The mean concentrations of the heavy metals at all the studied sites are presented in Figure 2. The amount of lead in the samples is 18.79 ± 3.61 ppm; which falls within the normal range of 2.00 to 20.00 ppm in soils [18]. Luksiene and Racaitė [19], have reported similar observation of 0.10 to 20.00 ppm for Pb in a study of sandy soils in Brasil.

The amount of Pb found in this study may be due to deposition from automobile exhaust, since the mining site lies along the Kano- Daura highway. Pb is known to improve the refractive index of the glass, providing the finished glass with a colourless and brilliant appearance [20]. Thus, the high level of lead in the glass sand is a marked advantage.

The mean concentration of cadmium in the samples calls for concern because of its relatively high value (3.74 ± 0.85 ppm). Naturally occurring cadmium concentration ranges from 0.03 to 0.30 ppm in soils [18]. This result compared with the observation reported in a study of sandy soils in which Cd ranged from 0.71 to 1.80 ppm, which is above the permissible limit [19]. The high concentrations of cadmium in the present study can be attributed to vehicular traffic emission from the roadside and municipal solid wastes applied in the adjacent farmlands as well as the use of other agrochemicals [21].

The level of chromium (15.64 ± 3.84 ppm) is in excess of the natural concentration limit of 5.00 ppm in soils (Bowen, 1979) [18]. This finding is similar to the studies reported in sandy soils which ranged between 11.40 to 60.00 ppm for Cr [19]. The high levels of chromium can be attributed to the presence of chromium compounds being excavated during the mining activity into the soil surface. The high content of chromium may impact negatively on the finished glass, since the presence of higher amounts of Fe_2O_3 and Cr_2O_3 as impurities in the glass sand is known to produce a green tint in the finished glass [20].

The levels of Ni, Zn, Cu and Mn fell within the normal range in sandy soils [18, 19, 22]. The high levels of Zn compared to the other metals can be attributed to emissions from vehicle

exhausts, Zn being an additive in lubricating oils [4]). The level of Mn in the soil is an added advantage as since MnO_2 has the ability to neutralize the colour effect due to the presence of Fe and Cr [20].

The mean concentration of the metals at the controlled areas ranged from Mn ($6.28 \pm 0.88 \text{ ppm}$) to Zn ($47.46 \pm 1.88 \text{ ppm}$). Analysis of the result indicates that there no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between the metal content of the glass sand and those from the adjacent control sites. This observation confirms that the introduction of the metals into the adjacent lands as well as the mining sites have a common source.

Table 1: Table showing the pH values and the metal levels (ppm) of the Glass sand deposit

S.Points	pH	Pb	Cd	Cr	Ni	Fe	Zn	Cu	Mn
SP1	7.54 ± 0.23	4.58 ± 1.98	7.91 ± 1.44	7.69 ± 0.00	16.82 ± 5.30	36.41 ± 4.86	81.16 ± 5.02	14.74 ± 1.96	6.79 ± 1.18
SP2	7.76 ± 0.14	16.04 ± 1.98	2.92 ± 0.72	17.95 ± 4.45	18.35 ± 0.00	36.41 ± 4.86	30.43 ± 0.00	23.81 ± 3.40	3.39 ± 1.17
SP3	7.60 ± 0.10	11.46 ± 1.97	4.53 ± 0.72	7.69 ± 0.00	18.35 ± 4.59	42.02 ± 8.41	30.43 ± 4.35	11.34 ± 1.97	6.11 ± 2.04
SP4	7.60 ± 0.06	33.22 ± 3.97	4.99 ± 1.25	12.82 ± 4.44	15.29 ± 2.65	28.01 ± 4.85	28.98 ± 2.51	19.28 ± 1.96	6.11 ± 0.00
SP5	7.80 ± 0.12	20.62 ± 3.44	2.92 ± 0.72	17.95 ± 4.45	21.41 ± 7.01	28.01 ± 4.85	57.97 ± 6.64	9.07 ± 1.96	7.47 ± 1.18
SP6	8.12 ± 0.04	14.89 ± 1.98	2.08 ± 1.44	25.64 ± 11.8	18.35 ± 4.59	25.21 ± 8.40	40.58 ± 3.83	6.80 ± 0.00	5.43 ± 1.18
SP7	8.21 ± 0.06	27.49 ± 3.44	2.50 ± 0.00	17.95 ± 4.45	19.88 ± 2.65	53.22 ± 12.8	24.64 ± 9.05	9.07 ± 1.96	5.43 ± 1.18
SP8	8.36 ± 0.11	21.76 ± 10.5	2.08 ± 0.72	12.82 ± 4.44	18.35 ± 4.59	33.61 ± 0.00	20.29 ± 2.51	13.61 ± 0.00	7.47 ± 2.61
SP9	8.25 ± 0.07	13.75 ± 3.44	4.16 ± 0.72	12.82 ± 4.44	18.35 ± 4.59	30.81 ± 4.85	37.68 ± 2.51	9.07 ± 1.96	5.43 ± 1.18
SP10	7.98 ± 0.12	24.05 ± 3.44	3.33 ± 0.72	23.08 ± 0.00	10.70 ± 2.65	25.21 ± 8.40	50.72 ± 2.51	12.47 ± 1.97	8.15 ± 0.00
CT1	8.00 ± 0.03	6.87 ± 3.44	2.92 ± 0.72	12.82 ± 4.44	16.82 ± 2.65	19.61 ± 4.85	46.38 ± 2.51	24.94 ± 1.96	10.86 ± 1.18
CT2	8.04 ± 0.04	6.87 ± 0.00	3.75 ± 1.25	10.25 ± 4.44	22.94 ± 4.59	36.41 ± 4.86	50.72 ± 4.51	20.41 ± 0.00	6.79 ± 1.18
CT3	8.10 ± 0.03	12.60 ± 1.99	1.25 ± 0.00	23.08 ± 0.00	13.76 ± 4.59	25.21 ± 8.40	56.52 ± 0.00	18.14 ± 1.96	3.39 ± 1.17
CT4	7.82 ± 0.02	26.34 ± 3.97	5.41 ± 0.72	20.51 ± 8.89	12.23 ± 2.65	36.42 ± 9.71	36.23 ± 2.51	12.47 ± 1.97	4.07 ± 0.00
STD in Soil		$2.00-20.0^a$	$0.03-0.30^a$	5.00^a	$2.00-750^a$	$3000-5000^b$	$1.00-900^a$	$5.00-20.0^a$	$40.00-900^c$

Values represent Mean $\pm$ Standard deviation of twelve determinations

Key: SP= Sample Collection Point

CT=Control Sample Collection Point

STD=Standard value

Source: a=Bowen [18], b= Awokunmi *et al.* [23], c=ATSDR [22]




Fig. 1: Map of the study area showing sampling sites

- Main road
- - - Minor road
- ~ ~ ~ Shelterbelt
- Δ Δ Sampling points




Fig. 2: Mean concentrations of the Heavy metals (ppm) at the mining sites
Values represent Mean $\pm$ Standard deviation of twelve determinations

4. CONCLUSION

The results showed that the whole area surrounding the mining site belongs to the same soil type. The absorption of these metals in large quantities by the plants and crops is minimized as the pH is higher than the optimum needed to enhance effective metal absorption from the soils by plants and other crops in the adjacent farmlands. High concentrations of Cd and Cr are indications that the adjacent farmland soil pollution has resulted from the mining operations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the people of Kuma Dangibga village for their hospitality throughout the period of sampling. We are also indebted to the laboratory staff of the department of Chemistry, Bayero University, Kano for their assistance during the period of analysis.

COMPETING INTERESTS

: “Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.”

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS

A.A. Audu designed the study, wrote the first draft of the manuscript. M. Waziri and L. Shuaibu managed the sample collection, laboratory analysis, literature review and statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.”

REFERENCES

1. JR, Hayes H, Roth D, Antweider R, Brinton TI, Taylor H. Contaminant in the Mississippi River. In Analysis of Heavy Metals Concentrations in roadsides soil in Yauri, Nigeria. Afr. Jour. Pure and App. Chem. 1995; 4(3): 22-30.
2. Hutton M, Symon C. The Quantities of Cadmium, Lead, Mercury and Arsenic entering the UK Environment from Human activities. Sci. Total Environ. 1986; 57:129-150.
3. Yahaya MI, Mohammed S, Abdullahi, BK. Seasonal Variation of Heavy Metals Concentration in Abattoir Dumping site soil in Nigeria. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Mgmt. 2009; 13 (4):9-13.
4. Mashi SA, Yaro SA, Haiba AS. Cu, Mn, Fe and Zn Levels in soils of Shika Area, Nigeria. Biomed. and Environ. Sci. 2004; 17:426-431.
5. Nriagu, JO. Global Metals Pollution. Environment. 1992; 32:7-11.
6. Ganeshamurthy AN, Varalakshmi LR and Sumangala HP. Environmental Risk Associated with Heavy Metals Contamination in soils, water and plants in Urban and Periurban Agriculture. J. Horti. Sci. 2008; 3(1):1-29.
7. Bhata SC. Environmental Chemistry. In Risk and Health Implications of Polluted Soils for crop production. Afr. J. Biotech. 2002; 4(13): 1521-1524.
8. Okoronkwo NE, Igwe JC, Onwuchekwa EC. Risk and Health Implications of Polluted Soils for crop production. Afr. J. Biotech. 2005; (13): 1521-1524.
9. Audu AA, Lawal AO. Variation in metal contents of plants in vegetable Garden Sites in Kano Metropolis. App. Sci. Env. Mgmt. 2006; 10 (2):105-109.
10. Asia IO, Jegede SI, Jegede DA, Ize-Iyamu OK, Akpasubi EB. The effect of Petroleum exploration and production operations on the Heavy Metals Content of Soil and ground water in the Niger Delta. Int. Jour. Phy. Sci. 2007; 2 (10): 271-275.
11. Moravic JE, Malik O, Marihlad K, Papayara A. Effects of fumes from Welding Industry. Jour. of Env. Pol. 1986; 27:77-83.
12. Jeffery PK (1992). Environmental Toxicology. Edward Arnold Ltd, London: 1992. Pp. 68-78.

* Tel.: +2348033670619.

E-mail address:maimunakadai@yahoo.com

- 283 13. Guardian National Daily. In Essentials of Environmental Issues. The World and
284 Nigeria in perspective. Daily Graphics Publication, Ibadan, Nigeria. 1991; July 21:
285 44-48.
- 286
- 287 14. Birnin Yauri UA, Argungun BH. Automobile pollution: A case study of some towns
288 in Kebbi State, Nigeria. Nig. J. Basic Applied Sci. 2002; 11: 147-155.
- 289
- 290 15. IUPAC. Compendium of Chemical Terminology. 2nd Ed.1997; 62: 1204.
- 291
- 292 16. USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). USEPA Method 3050B:
293 Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. USEPA Office of Resources,
294 Conservation and Recovery (5305P) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington
295 DC 20460 1999.
- 296
- 297 17. Michael P, Arjun, H. 'Soils'. In Encyclopedia of Earth. 2010. Accessed 15
298 December, 2012. Available: <http://www.eoearth.org/article/soil>
- 299
- 300 18. Bowen HJM. Environmental Chemistry of Elements. London: Acad. Press. Inc. Ltd:
301 1979; 7.
- 302
- 303 19. Luksiene B, Racaite M. Accumulation of Heavy Metals in Spring Wheat Over ground
304 and Underground parts. Environmental Research, Engineering and Management.
305 2008; 4 (46): 36-41.
- 306
- 307 20. Tooley FV. The Handbook of Glass Manufacture. Books for the Glass Industry
308 Division, Ashee publishing Co., Inc. 310 Madian Avenue, New York 3rded. 1984; 2:
309 978-987.
- 310 21. Yong RN, Mohammed AMO, Warkentin BP. Principles of contaminant Transport in
311 Soils. In A Study of Heavy Metals Concentrations in the Sediments Upstream of
312 Ikpoba River and Reservoir in Benin City, Nigeria. Bioscience Res. Comm. 1992; 21
313 (3): 119-127.
- 314
- 315 22. ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Diseases Registry) (2000). Toxicological
316 Profile for Manganese (update). US Department of Health and Human services,
317 Public Health service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Diseases Registry.
318 Accessed 15 December, 2012.
319 Available: <http://www.eco-usa.net/toxics/chemicalsindex.shtml>
- 320
- 321 23. Awokunmi EE, Asaulo SS, Ipinmoroti KO. Effect of Leaching on Heavy Metal
322 concentration of Soil in some dumpsite. Afr. J. Env. Sci. and Tech. 2010; 4(8):495-
323 499.
- 324
- 325
- 326
- 327
- 328