



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_44154
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Processing on the Levels of Pesticides in some Commonly Consumed Meats from Sagamu, South-western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Regarding abstract: It must be in single paragraph. Abstract and conclusion are more are less similar and so it should be revised. Reduced the length of introduction and, it must be related to your study. Materials And Method: it is explained very well except Pesticide Residue Extraction and Clean-up it is very lengthy, try to rewrite it in short paragraph. Result: it is ok Discussion: many parts of result are repeated in this section. To reduce the length of manuscript it will be better to discuss result and discussion combine.</p>	<p>Regarding the abstract, we did not revise it to a single block simply because the 'Author's Guideline' given by the journal did not require such format. Thus we have left it as previously submitted.</p> <p>The abstract barely has a sentence similar to what is available in the conclusion. We believe the abstract of the manuscript has been structured appropriately as similarly affirmed by other reviewers. Thus, we do not deem it fit to revise further, which may lead to losing relevant ingredients that should be found in the abstract.</p> <p>The introduction seems long but it has been carefully compiled to provide sufficient background to other readers/scientists. Thus, we think that a reduction of one paragraph or the other will make the background section somewhat shallow. All paragraphs of the introduction section are fully related to our reported study.</p> <p>The section on extraction and clean-up has been provided with sufficient details to allow for ease of replication of our method. We believe this will support other scientists that might want to repeat this experiment with the same or different set of meat varieties.</p> <p>Thank you for the comment on the result section.</p> <p>Thank you for the suggestion to combine the result and discussion sections of the manuscript. However, considering some logistics, it is neater for us to report the result separately from the discussion. There is the need to summarily mention the result on few occasions within the discussion, to improve the readability of this report. Last, the 'Author's Guide' also allows for separation of the result and the discussion sections, if deem fit (as in our situation). Irrespective of these opinions of ours, in view of the Reviewer's comment, we deleted the first sentence of paragraph 5, to minimize repetition. That was the only adjustment deem fit.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The author has strictly followed the journal guidelines and has maintained the quality of manuscript. The manuscript must be accepted after correction of above mention comments.	Thank you for the complement. In view of the above explanations, we believe relevant concession should be given to us for the publication of the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	