



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_43596
Title of the Manuscript:	Salmonella rough strains possessing extended-spectrum beta-lactamase genes associated with gastroenteritis of piglets in Meghalaya (India)
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Keywords must not have the same words as in the title You can sample one month from only 5 piglets and expect to publish, you do not have enough data. Your figure one what's there because all I see is a blank picture Your Introduction is well defined but least I say your Results and discussion needs major revision	Keywords were changed as suggested. Sampling data from the month of January 2018 were included Figure -1 checked for viewing Results and discussion were revised accordingly
Minor REVISION comments	Generally I doubt much effort has been placed on this study because scientifically the results are not viable. How can you sample 5 piglets, what was your control group you did not specify? Generally this study is of value to the field but the results are not enough/ viable for publication due to the sampling time and size.	The study is a random investigative study, no groups were included. Sampling size were included from the month of January 2018 as suggested.
Optional/General comments		