



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_43428
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of energy, use pattern and change its scenario for sugarcane crop in Narsinghpur (M.P.), India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 3: ...and change “its” scenario... Please check if you missed a word before “its” (such as “in”) Line 8: ...was to analysis of... Do you mean “to analyse...”? Line 9: ...change its scenario... Consider the comment for line 3 Line 12: ...outputs in the form yield of... Check if you missed a word before “yield” (such as “of”) Lines 15-17: ...43497.6....61623....Please specify the units Line 8-19: There is no policy recommendation (based on your research findings) in your abstract. Line 28: ...It is the need of hour to... Line 51: ...Reduction. Change “R” to “r” Line 72: ...increase from 30 million bales last year to...Please specify the year Line 83: ...has been carried to... Please recast Line 87: ...if required... Please remove. Line 87: The physical data were converted on... Check the types of data or data sources...and ensure that your use of “physical data” is correct. Change “on” to “to” Lines 89-90: ...Analysis and optimization of..... Check the sentence Lines 90-91: Check the sentence Lines 91-94: are to be determined from.... Please recast Lines 99-100: ...will be less... Please recast Lines 203-204: ...as human electrical.. Lines 317-318: This is a simple linear regression model, therefore the “R²” should be changed to “r² (because you had only one independent variable which you regressed against your dependent variable) Lines 137-335: Discuss your results, specifying other related studies that agree or disagree with your research findings. Lines 337-347: Include policy recommendations (based on your findings)	Respected Sir/Ma'am, Thank you for your valuable comments or suggestions against my manuscript. I agree with all comments which are mentioned in comment section. I have corrected all things in my manuscript which are suggested by you. I hope you will be agree with my corrections. If any other mistakes are present in manuscript kindly suggest me for future. Thank you
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		