



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_43127
Title of the Manuscript:	Behavior of Shear Connectors Formed by Steel Bars in “X” Form Bonded-in in Wood-Concrete Specimens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is poorly written, hard to read and understand. It contains many typos and grammatical errors. All figures must be improved, particularly Figs. 1, 2 and 3. For instance, Fig. 1 shows half of the X brace and rotated 45 degrees. How is the other half connected to the other half? Also, the force F applied to the wood part at the top is not concentrated and reaction forces at the bottom parts made of concrete are not shown. These details must be corrected. Figs. 2b, 3, and 9 are not consistent with Fig. 1. What are the effects of the vertical offset between the two X braces? The conclusions are few and of little practical value. The manuscript must be re-written by a person with a complete knowledge in the use of the technical English language.	We acknowledge this comment. it has been reviewed. We acknowledge this comment. it has been reviewed. Figures 2b, 3 and 9 are simplified representations of figure 1. We acknowledge this comment. it has been reviewed. We acknowledge this comment. it has been reviewed. We acknowledge this comment. it has been reviewed.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		