



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42993
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Anchorage Strength of Bonded-In Steel Bars with Epoxy Resin, Varying the Superficial Treatments and Moisture After Bonding, In Corymbia citriodora Timbers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. This paper has an original contribution but not clear and concisely composed. In addition. Too many typing errors including on the reference. Consider to check it again one by one. 2. Result and discussion, section 3 ; Strength of Bonded-In Steel Bars with Epoxy Resin must be rewrite and supported by several related studies.	1- Ok, it has been reviewed. 2- Ok, it has been reviewed.
Minor REVISION comments	Some of the results are interesting but a good deal of editing is required to bring the manuscript up to an acceptable minimum standard. I recommend to accept this manuscripts after Minor revisions revised. (Minor revisions required)	1- We acknowledge this comment. it has been reviewed.
Optional/General comments		