



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42956
Title of the Manuscript:	Remanufacturing as a Service Embedded within Closed Loop Cloud Manufacturing System-Literature Review based Design
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Cloud remanufacturing and manufacturing can also be brought down a bit. A study/review paper need not be like a thesis; it should be within its limits so as to be more fruitful to a reader/researcher or for the industry people. But the stuff inside looks excellent and clearly shows the authors' effort in planning, designing and offering remanufacturing as a service. The basic idea of the paper is fine and only the presentation methodology can be revised a bit. But the pain taken by the authors is clearly reflected.	The abstract is lessened and the introduction also. Part 2 is lengthened and the whole paper is re-read and modified. Figures are modified to be clearer.
Minor REVISION comments	There is no need to bring out an abstract that contains everything about the paper. The abstract can be lessened.	The abstract is lessened
Optional/General comments		