



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42876
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the commercial or non-commercial energy sources and use pattern for cultivation of sugarcane in Narsinghpur (M. P.), India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is quite interesting but I have these few comments to make; The title of this manuscript may be reconstructed like this "Analysis of the commercial and non-commercial energy sources and use pattern for the cultivation of sugarcane in Narsinghpur, India" The Abstract should contain major findings in a concise and precise manner and brief conclusion/recommendations from the research. Also, you are at liberty to add up to five keywords but you must choose the most occurring words in the entire study as part of your keywords The introduction contained salient information about the key issues in this study but should be strengthened by adding some other theoretical and empirical review on works centred on commercial and non commercial energy sources used in sugarcane cultivation and also consulting some relevant and retrievable references for this. You may need to go through the manuscript to correct some grammatical errors. The gap that this study wanted to fill was not clear in the background of this study. You may need to make this clear in this section and relate it with the existing works in the literature. Materials and Methods section has some critical elements that are conspicuously missing in this study, these are; description of the study area, method of data collection, sampling techniques/procedures among others. What is the sample size for this study? In this study, I can really identify the method of data analysis in this study or is it a descriptive study? The socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents for this study are also missing in this study. In Results and Discussion, the findings of this research were not comparing with the already existing works in the literature either to validate their findings in relation to your own or refute their findings. The results shown in this section did not have procedures on how it would be carried out in methodology section. The conclusion should also incorporate the recommendations emanating from the research findings. The recommendations may be presented in paragraphs and not in bullet points or numbers.	Respected sir/ma'am, thank you for your valuable suggestions and comments about this manuscript, I appreciate with all suggestions or comments which are mentioned by you in this manuscript. And I have corrected all major and minor corrections, which are indicated by you in manuscript and I also have submitted correct manuscript in Journal. So I hope you will be agree with all corrections, which are done by me. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	All the minor revision comments are already given in the original manuscript	