



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42200
Title of the Manuscript:	ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION AND THE SOLUTION PROXIMITY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The Research Paper is Rejected and is NOT RECOMMENDED for Publication because of the following strong reasons: 1. The explanation and the coverage which is being done in this paper is just basic and almost 100% of the information is available in varied research papers online. Researcher has covered bit obvious information what the Ant Colony is all about and paper contains basic technical details. 2. No new information or mathematical cum latest case study can be observed in this paper. 3. The Language of the paper is also too basic and requires major revision. 4. Outdated references are cited in this paper. Highly Old.	Thank you but I feel this is an opinion. Work is classified researchable when there is work done previously in related manner