



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_42169
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Ecotoxicological Analyses of Spent phone b Batteries O on Pseudomonas sp. in Tri Aquatic Environment.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Style of title should be revised - Addition of sp. After the name of each genus e.g. <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. - References style should be checked (Ref 1 and 2) and name of genus should be <i>ITALIC</i> (ref 14 and 17) - Results and discussion section: 240 to line 260, references should be added and comparison with other works is essential - Line 233 to 234 (either reported in then references in numbers or reported by .. then we should added scientists names Very similar work was done using <i>Nitrosomonas</i> sp. Bacterium instead if <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. As in L. B. Kpormon and S. I. Douglas Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 17(2): 1-10, 2018; Article no.JABB.40421	Thanks for the careful editing of the manuscript. All errors have been noted corrected accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments	- Terminology should be revised "e.g. scandalized seems to be unusual in microbiology	Thanks , noted.
Optional/General comments	-Reduction of data especially figures	Alright, noted.