



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_41911
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Bio-fertilizers on Biochemical aspects of kashi pragati a standard cultivar of Okra. .[Abelmoschus esculentus (L.)Moench]”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Keywords must not contain in the title, must contain words that you have in the abstract. Acronyms are not welcome for keywords. Keywords-Okra ,cultivar, yield, FYM and quality What research goal, put in the introduction? format Table 1. Improve the discussion of the data, just put in the table and quoted high and medium data. What better treatment? Insert current and relevant citations. talked about statistical analysis but which treatment was statistically significant? Which statistical program is used? How was the treatment organized?	Yes sir. I did changes in keywords and I put goal also in Introduction part. And discussion data has been improved and format table too. Stastical analysed and how the treatment organized all mentioned in materials and methods section.
Minor REVISION comments	soups and stews (Kashif et al.,2008).Okra Enter punctuation	Tried to keep attention towards Added punctuation sir.
Optional/General comments	The search is interesting but needs better organization of the information. As well as proper formatting, there are punctuation errors. The search is interesting but needs better organization of the information. As well as proper formatting, there are punctuation errors. I recommend that the authors emphasize in their results their information and the significance and influence of the values.	Thanks a lot to praise it sir. I will try to do it perfect as per your suggestion sir..