



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_40510
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytomorphology of Callistephus chinensis as influenced by differential planting geometry, pinching and compound nutrient sprays.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	44-47. there is only one objective. So write the whole in a single paragraph. In the observation recorded and results and discussion part, do not mention the numerals as points (example, remove 2.1, 2.2 etc) like that of thesis. Reference Maintain uniformity. Either put the year of publication within the bracket or remove it; either use (,) after the authors' name or remove it. 274. Scientific names should always be in <i>italics</i> 280. the journal name in <i>italics</i> and the publication volume should be bold. 286. not in proper format 292. remove extra (.) 296, 299, 307, 312 etc... Check the whole reference and make it identical/ arrange it in uniform format. Scientific names should be checked thoroughly. 345. publication year is in 2014 while the thesis was submitted in the year 2015? 357. Check the spelling of the species	44-47. I have done the change and removed the numbering 274. Done 280. done 286. Changed 292. removed 296, 299, 307. 312. All done 345. corrected 357. corrected
Minor REVISION comments	7. check properly while writing the scientific name	All done
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>