



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_40420
Title of the Manuscript:	Exact solution algorithms for multi-dimensional multiple-choice knapsack problems
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No Major revisions required	
Minor REVISION comments	1. The proposed three algorithms DBB1, DBB2, DBB3 applications to be stated. 2. Find out the limitations of the proposed algorithms should be stated/discussed	With thanks. Some more applications are added in the first paragraph of Section 1, and are highlighted by the yellow colours. The proposed algorithm can be applied to the general form of MMKP. The only limitation is; the resource constraints must be in chronological order. A sentence (highlighted by the yellow colour) is added to the third paragraph of Section 1 to denote this limitation.
Optional/General comments	References must be in chronological order with standard formats(example: MLA)	The references are listed according to the format of the esteemed Journal of "British Journal of Applied Science & Technology" which is currently published as: " Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology ". This journal asks the reference list format as below; "References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text"

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>