



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_40273
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on a Sequencing Batch Three Phase Fluidized Bed Biofilm Reactor for Treatment of Sugar Factory Wastewater
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Please re-scan Fig. 1. It is not legible in its current state. 2. line 69 – Please explain how the dissolved oxygen was maintained at 3 to 3.5 mg/L 3. Line 94 to line 106 – Does this portion belong to the Experimental section or results and discussion? 4. Line 116 to line 117 – Do you have any results that support the statement that “increasing air flow will increase power consumption”?	1, Fig1 Ok 2. When the flow rate of air was controlled in the range 25 -50L/h , the dissolved oxygen was maintained at 3 to 3.5 mg/L 3. Line 94 to line 106 – Does this portion belong to results and discussion? 4. “increasing air flow will increase power consumption”? “increasing air flow will increase power of air pump
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		