SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_39762**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Development of Multiple Regression Model in Predicting the Dissolve Oxygen Deficit of Ntawaogba River**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	English is poor. There are a lot of incomplete sentences and misspelling like Ph (should be pH), dissolved oxygen (dissolved oxygen) etc. There are no table captions. I did not understand table 3 represents which sampling point's results. All tables needs to be clarified. Figures needs to be proven in terms of English. In discussion section author stated that "However, there was a significant oxygen deficit at the point 4 and 5 in Fig. (4) For 190 dissolved-oxygen.". He/she did not explain why. The results need to be discussed in detail in discussion section. Each sampling point needs to be discussed in detail. **The manuscript needs to be re-written.**	Ok noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		