



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_39330
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELING CRUSTAL STRUCTURES OF SOUTHERN NIGERIA BASINS FROM AEROMAGNETIC DATA: IMPLICATION ON HYDROCARBON PROSPECTIVITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The comments have been highlighted in the attached document. However, the manuscripts need a serious overhaul with respect to editorials and grammar.	All the highlighted areas have been addressed and correction effected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	A nice concept. However, the author(s) have not adequately described their method and presented the results in a manner that would allow a critical review of the work.	