



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_38796
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Drying Methods on the Chemical Properties of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench) Slices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Apart from the section on the sensory evaluation result, the design and reporting of the paper is okay. Needs no major correction (in my opinion). On the sensory evaluation, the design is alright, but for the reporting of the result, I am not sure if graphical representation (figure 2, as used in the paper) has gained a wide usage. I initially had some challenges interpreting the pictorial presentation, and I am still not sure the interpretation i gave the chart is what it should be. Figures are expected to be self-explanatory to the general wider readers.	From the spider chart, each edge stand for a quality test (e.g. appearance). The chart was calibrated from inside to outside part (ranging from 0 to 9 which equivalent to the scale point used in ranking the samples). Therefore at each edge one can see and compared which sample was rated higher.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		