



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_38528
Title of the Manuscript:	AGE DIFFERENCES IN BODY IMAGE PERCEPTIONS OF TRIBAL ADOLESCENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- What test was used to measure the required sample size for adequate reliability? - How were participants gathered? Was consent given by participants? - Spelling errors were found in the abstract. Ethical issue: The author did not describe how participants were gathered. Was consent given by individuals?	Sample was randomly selected All the errors were cleared as per the comment. And participants were gathered from schools and colleges of tribal adolescents with the permission of the school and college principal and approval and willing of the participants.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- It is recommended that a theoretical framework should be included in the paper to further frame the paper. - It is also suggested that paragraphs (introduction/conclusion) be added to further improve the "flow" of the paper.	Theoretical framework has been added according to the review and paragraphs were also improved accordingly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		