SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_37918**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ECONOMIC IMPLICATION OF UNRELIABLE POWER SYSTEM IN NIGERIA: A CASE STUDY OF OTA 132/33 KV TRANSMISSION STATION**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**State clear research questions this work answers in the introduction of paper.** **2. Establish strong foundation of this work? Why it is needed in presence of similar articles in literature. What gap is this study filling?** **3. Are you findings similar or different from what others have found? What is your research contribution and addition to currently present knowledge.** **4. Conclusion is also arbitrary comments. It should be based on achievement of research objectives set and their interpretation in light of data presented along with authors own opinion and deductions from the work.** **5. Provide clear limitations and assumptions in this study and also provide future directions** **6.put more current references**	NOTED
Minor REVISION comments	English should be polished up	
Optional/General comments		