



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36623
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of a Rainwater harvesting system in University College Hospital, Nigeria using RainCycle Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In abstract, in the sentence, Monte Carlo simulation shows the importance influence, importance is to be written as impotent. In study area section - land mass (400 km ²) should be written as land area In section 2.5 - PUEnt is not seen in the equation 4 and c is not defined. Eq 4 should be checked for terms. Word savings from fig-9 has moved below the fig-8 and it should be corrected.	These has been corrected in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		